

EUROPEAN RESPIRATORY journal

FLAGSHIP SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL OF ERS

Early View

Correspondence

Cardiopulmonary Exercise Test might be Helpful For Insight Interpretation of Impaired Pulmonary Function on Recovered COVID-19 Patients

Yi Gao, Ruchong Chen, Qian Geng, Xiaoneng Mo, Chen Zhan, Wenhua Jian, Shiyue Li, Jinping Zheng

Please cite this article as: Gao Y, Chen R, Geng Q, *et al*. Cardiopulmonary Exercise Test might be Helpful For Insight Interpretation of Impaired Pulmonary Function on Recovered COVID-19 Patients. *Eur Respir J* 2020; in press (https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.04265-2020).

This manuscript has recently been accepted for publication in the *European Respiratory Journal*. It is published here in its accepted form prior to copyediting and typesetting by our production team. After these production processes are complete and the authors have approved the resulting proofs, the article will move to the latest issue of the ERJ online.

Copyright ©ERS 2020. This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0.

Cardiopulmonary Exercise Test might be Helpful For Insight Interpretation of Impaired Pulmonary Function on Recovered COVID-19 Patients

Yi Gao¹*, MD, PhD; Ruchong Chen¹*, MD, PhD; Qian Geng¹, MD; Xiaoneng Mo², MD; Chen Zhan¹, MD; Wenhua Jian¹, MD; Shiyue Li^{1#}, MD; Jinping Zheng^{1#}, MD

1. State Key Laboratory of Respiratory Disease, National Clinical Research Center for

Respiratory Disease, Guangzhou Institute of Respiratory Health, First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China.

2. Department of Respiratory medicine, Guangzhou Eighth People's Hospital, Guangzhou, China.

*Contribute equally as joint first authors

#Correspondence to: Dr. Jinping Zheng (jpzhenggy@163.com) or Dr. Shiyue Li (lishiyue@188.com)

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported.

To the Editor,

We are grateful to have the opportunity for an in-depth discussion with Dr Nusair et al[1]and Dr Chapman et al[2] who we sincerely appreciate their insightful comments on our study about the impaired pulmonary function in COVID-19 patients[3,4], which helps to interpret the parameters of abnormal lung diffusion capacity more accurate.

According to the algorithm pointed out by Dr. Chapman[2], the mean VA for total, mild, pneumonia, severe pneumonia patients with COVID-19 in our study were 84.9%, 85.3%, 86.4%, 78.5%, respectively[3]. Recently, another retrospective study by Frija-Masson[5] showed more than half of patients with COVID-19 pneumonia presented abnormal lung function 30 days after symptom onset. Similarly, a rough value VA for total, none/mild, moderate, severe patients with COVID-19 were 85.1%, 87.9%, 80.2%, 78.9%, respectively. If we plotted these data into the curve of DLCO/DLCO_{TLC} and KCO/KCO_{TLC} plotted against volume loss VA/VA_{TLC} presented by J. Michael et al[6], we can see a greater impairment in DLCO and a normal but not increased KCO especially in severe cases, which isn't in parallel with the expected result if a reduction in VA is the sole factor that results in lung diffusion abnormality. Both studies supported the view that loss of alveolar units is not sufficient to cause the observed impairment in DLCO.

DLCO depends on both VA and the KCO. The same DLCO may occur with various combinations of KCO and VA, each suggesting different pathologies. Currently, limited data on pathology showed the diffuse alveolar damage (DAD) was the predominant lung pathology, with various levels of progression and severity and residual interstitial abnormalities[7]. Additionally, pulmonary microangiopathy, fibrin clotting within small capillaries around alveoli, small vessel thrombosis and thickening of alveolar capillaries were also found in different post-mortem studies[8,9]. From this perspective, it suggested that not only reduced VA, but also residual interstitial abnormalities, pulmonary vascular abnormalities contributed to the abnormal diffuse function in patients with COVID-19. We agree with Dr. Chapman's point that "Use of more specific measures of the alveolar-capillary membrane, such as combined DLCO and DLNO measurements or advanced imaging techniques, are likely required to determine whether interstitial abnormalities or pulmonary vascular abnormalities contribute to reduced DLCO". Moreover, a combination of dynamic chest CT scans help to assess the status of patients with COVID-19 having abnormal lung function.

In the clinical practice, part of rehabilitated patients with COVID-19 presented various level of exertional dyspnea. Besides the impairment of static pulmonary function tests (PFT), a decreasing capability of oxygen uptake or utilize should be noted. Therefore, we performed the dynamic functional evaluation through cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET) in 10 rehabilitated patients with COVID-19 (i.e. 3 moderate cases, 2 severe cases, 5 critical ill cases) 1-month post-discharge in our center between January to March 2020.

Our result showed the spirometry was within the normal range in all cases and abnormal DLCO (<80% pred) was only found in 3 cases. However, noteworthy, all cases had reduction of the peak oxygen uptake (PeakVO2) and seven cases displayed decreasing oxygen pulse of predicted (VO2/HR%pred). On the contrary, 8 cases displayed normal ventilatory equivalents for carbon dioxide at anaerobic threshold (VE/VCO2@AT). This indicated pulmonary dysfunction and gas transfer inefficiency was not the sole reason for exercise limitation of patients with COVID-19, extrapulmonary factors especially the cardiac dysfunction after long-term bed rest during hospitalization should be concerned.

Up to date, no data regarding the CPET on patients with COVID-19 was reported. Previous study on SARS by Ong et al [10] showed despite half of the recovered patients with SARS had pulmonary function defects, the impairment was mild in majority of cases. Many patients had decreased exercise capacity that cannot be accounted for impairment of pulmonary function, which was consistent with our preliminary results. Thus, it is necessary to further evaluate the impairment of exercise endurance in patients with COVID-19.

References

- 1. Nusair S. Abnormal carbon monoxide diffusion capacity in COVID-19 patients at time of hospital discharge. *Eur Respir J* 2020;56(1):2001832.
- Chapman DG, Badal T, King GG, Thamrin C. Caution in Interpretation of Abnormal Carbon Monoxide Diffusion Capacity in COVID-19 Patients. *Eur Respir J* 2020;8:2003263.
- 3. Mo X, Jian W, Su Z, et al. Abnormal pulmonary function in COVID-19 patients at time of hospital discharge. *Eur Respir J* 2020;8:2001217.

- 4. Chen R, Gao Y, Chen M, et al. Impaired pulmonary function in discharged patients with COVID-19: more work ahead. *Eur Respir J* 2020;56(1).
- 5. Frija-Masson J, Debray M-P, Gilbert M, et al. Functional characteristics of patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia at 30 days post-infection. *Eur Respir J* 2020;56(2):2001754.
- 6. Hughes JMB, Pride NB. Examination of the carbon monoxide diffusing capacity (DL(CO)) in relation to its KCO and VA components. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2012;186(2):132–139.
- 7. Ackermann M, Verleden SE, Kuehnel M, et al. Pulmonary Vascular Endothelialitis, Thrombosis, and Angiogenesis in Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2020;:NEJMoa2015432.
- Carsana L, Sonzogni A, Nasr A, et al. Pulmonary post-mortem findings in a series of COVID-19 cases from northern Italy: a two-centre descriptive study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2020;20(10):1135–1140.
- 9. Fox SE, Akmatbekov A, Harbert JL, Li G, Quincy Brown J, Vander Heide RS. Pulmonary and cardiac pathology in African American patients with COVID-19: an autopsy series from New Orleans. *Lancet Respir Med* 2020;8(7):681–686.
- 10. Ong K-C, Ng AW-K, Lee LS-U, et al. Pulmonary function and exercise capacity in survivors of severe acute respiratory syndrome. *European Respiratory Journal* 2004;24(3):436–442.

	Case	Case	Case	Cas							
	1	2	3	e 4	e 5	e 6	e 7	e 8	e 9	e 10	Mean±
Illness	moder	moder	moder	sev	seve	criti	criti	criti	criti	criti	SD
severity	ate	ate	ate	ere	re	cal	cal	cal	cal	cal	~ -
Gender	Male	Femal	Femal	Mal	fem	Mal	Mal	Mal	Mal	Mal	NA
		e	e	e	ale	e	e	e	e	e	
Age	50	25	56	26	83	65	58	41	50	53	50.7±1 7.3
BMI	19.83	20.43	20.63	26.5 8	23.1 3	21.6 3	23.4 2	28.1 8	25.4 3	24.2 7	23.4±2. 8
PFTs											
FEV1 %pre d	111	98	106	102	138	115	99	87	92	93	104.1± 14.7
FVC %pred	107	93	111	106	138	106	90	87	85	95	101.8± 15.7
FEV1/FVC	85.5	92.6	80.6	81.3	79.1	85	87.7	83.8	87.3	78.8	84.2±4. 4
FEF25%- 75% %pred	101	111	75	87	90	109	127	81	116	69	96.6±1 9.1
DLCO %pr ed	86	93	88	95	61	85	69	80	78	84	81.9±1 0.5
KCO %pre d	74	103	92	89	70	90	81	88	86	84	85.7±9. 3
CPET											
PeakVO2 %pred	73	76	74	61	77	66	44	73	58	60	66.2±1 0.5
VO2@AT %pred	55.9	53.5	/	37.8	/	52.2	43.5	50	42.8	44.7	47.6±6. 3
Breath reserve	66.6	65.3	65.5	46.9	70.9	66.4	58.3	65.3	53.9	59.9	61.9±7. 2
VO2/HR % pred	74	94	107	64	106	65	54	76	66	74	- 78±18. 3
VE/VCO2 @AT	25.4	26.5	/	27.7	/	30.4	34.6	28.7	31.3	32.1	29.6±3. 1

Table1: Clinical Characteristics, PFTs and CPET at 1-month post-discharge follow-up

Abbreviation: PFTs: pulmonary function tests; FEV1%pred: forced expiratory volume in one second of predicted; FVC%pred: forced vital capacity of predicted; FEF25%-75%%pred: mean forced expiratory flow between 25% and 75% of predicted;-DLCO%pred: carbon monoxide diffusion capacity of predicted; KCO%pred: carbon monoxide transfer coefficient of predicted; CPET: cardiopulmonary exercise test; PeakVO2 %pred: peak oxygen uptake of predicted; VO2@AT%pred: oxygen uptake at anaerobic threshold of predicted; VO2/HR%pred: Oxygen pulse of predicted; VE/VCO2@AT: Ventilatory equivalents for carbon dioxide at anaerobic threshold.