existing Otago program were feasible and if this affected patient satisfaction. Arm1: the Otago strength and balance program alone (n = 10); Arm 2: Otago + Gentle Yoga and Yogic Breathing (n = 10); and Arm 3: Otago + Gentle Yoga and Yogic Breathing + Behavioral Activation (we named this combination 'Activate for Life' n = 10). Dependent measures included recruitment rate, session completion characteristics, and satisfaction with the program. Overall, study and treatment components proved feasible, and participants reported high satisfaction with all 3 Arms.

## FOOD ACCESS, DIET QUALITY, AND NUTRITIONAL STATUS OF OLDER ADULTS DURING COVID-19: A SCOPING REVIEW

Emily Nicklett,<sup>1</sup> Kimson Johnson,<sup>2</sup> Lisa M. Troy,<sup>3</sup> Maitreyi Vartak,<sup>1</sup> and Ann Reiter,<sup>1</sup>, 1. *The University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas, United States,* 2. University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, United States, 3. University of Massachusetts Amherst, University of Massachusetts Amherst, Massachusetts, United States

COVID-19 has imposed challenges for older adults to access food, particularly in minority, lower income, and rural communities. However, the impact of COVID-19 on food access, diet quality, and nutrition of diverse older adult populations has not been systematically assessed. The objective of this study is to examine changes in food access, diet quality, and nutritional status among older adults during the COVID-19 pandemic and the potential differential impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on these nutrition-related outcomes using the framework of the socio-ecological model. An electronic search was conducted using three databases (PubMed, CINAHL, and Web of Science) on March 7, 2021. Original, peer-reviewed English-language studies published 10/1/2019-3/1/2021 were considered for which the age of participants was 50 years and older (average age range 50-98). In order to be considered, studies must have examined food access, food security, or nutrition constructs as an outcome. The initial search yielded 13,628 results, of which 9,145 were duplicates. Of the remaining 4,483 articles, 13 articles were in scope and therefore selected in the final analysis, which can be characterized as descriptive (n=5), analytical (n=6), and correlational (n=2). Studies were conducted among community-dwelling older adult populations (n=7) as well as those temporarily residing in hospital settings (n=6)in 10 countries. More research is needed to examine the impact of COVID-19 on food access/security and the differential barriers experienced by older adult populations.

## GENERATIONAL MULTIMORBIDITY DISEASE CLUSTERS FOR BRITISH COHORTS BORN 1921 – 1960 Stacey Voll,<sup>1</sup> Graciela Muniz-Terrera,<sup>2</sup> and Scott Hofer,<sup>1</sup>, 1. University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada, 2. University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland, United Kingdom

The aim of this study is the first step in our understanding of the uniqueness and stability of multmorbdity disease patterns for different generations. The unique historical context that each generation has been exposed to is thought to have systemic health impacts and differences

in epidemiological make-up (Clouston et al. 2021). Literature suggests that multimorbidity disease patterns, are similar across countries (Hernandez et al, 2021 - in press) and observational points, and that migration into complex disease clusters is more common as people age (Cassell et al, 2018, Kingston et al. 2018). Most commonly reported are Cardiovascular and Metabolic disease clusters which lead to lower quality of life, mortality and morbidity (Kudesia, 2021). We asked: Do multimorbidity disease patterns differ for unique generations? Using the ELSA, the disease clusters of three cohorts were examined; an older cohort, born 1921-1930, a middle cohort born 1931-1940 a younger cohort born 1941-1950 and the "newest" cohort, born 1951-1960. Self-reported dementia and memory problems lead a specific cluster for the middle cohort, those born in 1931-1940, but not for the other cohorts. While disease patterns were different between sex for other clusters, the disease cluster of dementia and memory problems held similar disease patterns for males and females, with a prevalence of 3%. The dementia/memory problem cluster loaded with cardio/metabolic diseases. This suggests that complex multimorbidity for the British 1931-1940 cohort has had an impact related to dementia and memory problem diagnoses for this specific generation, for males and females alike.

## HEALTH AND FINANCIAL RISK-TAKING PROPENSITY DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC: DIFFERENCES BY AGE AND TIME

## Barış Sevi, and Natalie Shook, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut, United States

The COVID-19 pandemic has presented a global health threat of unprecedented magnitude and had a devastating impact on the world's economy. Accordingly, the riskiness of decisions related to health and finance may have increased. However, health and financial threats have differentially affected different age groups. For example, COVID-19 posed a greater health threat to older adults (65+ years) than younger or middle-aged adults, whereas financial threat due to the pandemic affected younger and middle-aged adults more than older adults. This study examined differences in the levels of health and financial risk-taking propensity by time of the pandemic and age group: young (18-39 years), middle-aged (40-64 years), and older adults (65+ years). A sample of 488 individuals residing in the US (245 Woman; Mage = 51.07, SD = 15.99) completed three waves of surveys in March, April, and May 2020. We found that risk-taking propensity for both health and financial decisions decreased over time. The risk-taking propensity was significantly lower in April and May than March, but risk-taking propensity in April and May did not significantly differ. The three age groups were all significantly different than each other in both health and financial risk-taking propensity at all three waves. Younger adults reported higher risk-taking propensity than older and middle-aged adults, and middle-aged adults reported higher risk-taking propensity than older adults. The findings indicate that the pandemic may have influenced all individuals to take less risks in the fields of health and finance regardless of their age.