Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Sharon R. Pine, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, United States

#### REVIEWED BY Gary Zirpoli, Boston University, United States Curt DellaValle, National Cancer Institute (NIH), United States Hem Chandra Jha, Indian Institute of Technology Indore, India

\*CORRESPONDENCE Maciej Trojanowski Maciej.trojanowski@wco.pl

RECEIVED 21 June 2023 ACCEPTED 13 November 2023 PUBLISHED 21 December 2023

#### CITATION

Trojanowski M, Radomyski P, Kycler W and Michalek IM (2023) Decrease in the number of new cancer diagnoses during the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic – cohort study of 3.5 million individuals in western Poland. *Front. Oncol.* 13:1230289. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1230289

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Trojanowski, Radomyski, Kycler and Michalek. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Decrease in the number of new cancer diagnoses during the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic – cohort study of 3.5 million individuals in western Poland

Maciej Trojanowski<sup>1\*</sup>, Piotr Radomyski<sup>2,3</sup>, Witold Kycler<sup>4</sup> and Irmina Maria Michalek<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Greater Poland Cancer Registry, Greater Poland Cancer Centre, Poznan, Poland, <sup>2</sup>Radiology Department, Greater Poland Cancer Centre, Poznan, Poland, <sup>3</sup>Electroradiology Department, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland, <sup>4</sup>Gastrointestinal Surgical Department, Greater Poland Cancer Centre, Poznan, Poland, <sup>5</sup>Cancer Epidemiology and Primary Prevention Department, Maria Sklodowska-Curie National Research Institute of Oncology, Warsaw, Poland

**Introduction:** The COVID-19 pandemic has considerably affected healthcare systems worldwide and is expected to influence cancer incidence, mortality, stage at diagnosis, and survival. This study aimed to assess COVID-19-related changes in cancer incidence observed in 2020 in the Greater Poland region.

**Materials and methods:** Data from the Greater Poland Cancer Registry on cancer patients diagnosed between 2010 and 2020 were analysed. To quantify the change in the number of incident cancer cases during the COVID-19 pandemic, we calculated the standardized incidence ratio (SIR) and the incidence rate difference (IRD) to assume the pandemic-attributable gap in cancer incidence.

**Results:** In 2020, in Greater Poland, the expected number of new cancer cases was 18 154 (9 226 among males and 8 927 among females), while the observed number was 14 770 (7 336 among males and 7 434 among females). The registered number of cancer cases decreased in 2020 by 20% (SIR 0.80, 95% CI 0.78 to 0.81) and 17% (SIR 0.83, 95% CI 0.81 to 0.85) in males and females, respectively. Among men, the most significant difference was reported for myeloma (SIR 0.59, 95% CI 0.45 to 0.77), among women for bone cancer (SIR 0.47, 95% CI 0.20 to 0.93). In females the observed incidence was higher than expected for cancer of an unspecified site (SIR 1.19, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.38). In our study, the decrease in new cancer cases was greater in males than in females.

**Discussion:** The observed incidence was affected in most cancer sites, with the most significant deviation from the expected number in the case of myeloma. An increase in the observed incidence was reported only in women diagnosed with cancer of an unspecified site, which might reflect shortages in access to oncological diagnostics.

#### KEYWORDS

cancer, cancer diagnoses, COVID-19, incidence, population-based cancer registry, SMAPE

## **1** Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has considerably affected healthcare systems worldwide (1) and is expected to influence cancer epidemiological metrics, such as incidence, mortality, stage at diagnosis, and survival. The anticipated changes were caused by medical and non-medical reasons, such as limited access to healthcare services (2), fear of exposure to the virus in medical facilities, and low cancer screening participation rates.

According to literature, cancer morbidity in Europe was reduced in 2020, especially between March and May, from 22% in Sweden to 66% in Spain (1). Reports encompassing the entire 2020 period presented a lower and somewhat similar decrease in incident cancer cases compared to 2019 - 6% in Denmark (3), 6% in Sweden (4), 4% in Finland (4), and 6% in Slovenia (5). Although extensive research has been carried out on the influence of the pandemic on observed cancer incidence, no single study has compared the observed number of cases to the expected one in the Polish population, meaning that existing studies may have underestimated the influence of the pandemic by not considering the predicted year-to-year increase in cancer incidence.

The first case of COVID-19 in Poland was confirmed on the 4<sup>th</sup> of March 2020. Six days later, the government implemented various restrictions, which became more severe in the following weeks. The organization of healthcare services was changed, also influencing cancer registration. Large numbers of medical staff were redirected to combat the pandemic. Hence some planned oncological surgeries were postponed or cancelled, and diagnostic tests and treatments were unavailable (6, 7). Cancer screening participation rates decreased in Poland (8-10), as in other European countries (11). Introducing telemedicine tools that received relatively positive feedback (12) partially mitigated these problems. Nevertheless, the overall impact of the pandemic on the Polish healthcare system and its performance was still considerable, as reflected by the overall number of deaths, which was approximately 15% higher than expected (13). According to Eurostat, this was one of Europe's highest excess mortality rates (14).

This study aimed to assess COVID-19-related changes in cancer incidence observed in 2020 in the Greater Poland region (western Poland) compared with predicted values.

## 2 Materials and methods

# 2.1 Data sources, study design, and cohort selection

To assess the influence of the COVID-19 pandemic on cancer incidence in Greater Poland (Wielkopolskie), Poland, we conducted a population-based, open cohort study.

Data were obtained from the Greater Poland Cancer Registry (GPCR), an official, state-funded, Polish regional institution dealing with cancer epidemiology in Greater Poland, one of the most populous first-level administrative regions in Poland (population of 3.51 million in 2020) (15). The GPCR database covers all incident cancer cases of persons with official addresses in Greater Poland. All data are coded by qualified specialists according to the International Classification of Diseases, the tenth revision (ICD-10), and International Classification of Diseases for Oncology (ICD-O). The GPCR, as a part of the Polish Cancer Registry (PLCR), follows all the operational rules of the PLCR, comprehensively described elsewhere (16). All of the recorded cases are routinely passed through specific tools to validate the quality of the data against the recommendations of the European Network of Cancer Registries. The registration system is based on a unique Polish personal identification number (PESEL) and avoids double coding of the same patient.

Mid-year Greater Poland population estimates were obtained from Statistics Poland (15).

## 2.2 Identification of cancer cases

We identified all patients registered with a diagnosis of primary malignant neoplasms and/or *in situ* neoplasms (C00-D09, according to ICD-10) between the 1<sup>st</sup> of January 2010 and the 31<sup>st</sup> of December 2020. Cases diagnosed between the 1<sup>st</sup> of January 2010 and the 31<sup>st</sup> of December 2019 were included in the study to serve as a basis for predicting the expected number of incident cancer cases in 2020. Cases diagnosed between the 1<sup>st</sup> of January 2020 and the 31<sup>st</sup> of December 2020 were included to assess the influence of the COVID-19 pandemic on the number of registered cancer cases. For patients with two or more independent incident diagnoses of coexisting neoplasms, diagnoses of all primary malignant neoplasms were included in the study. We obtained the following data for all registered cases: age at diagnosis, sex, and ICD-10 code for the diagnosis. All included cancer sites were grouped and labelled, as shown in Table S1.

## 2.3 Statistical analyses

Crude annual incidence rate was defined as the number of new cases diagnosed per 100 000 person-years. In the denominator, we applied the mid-year population, defined as the population size on the 30<sup>th</sup> of June of the respective year. Following GLOBOCAN, we performed direct age standardization for the World Standard (Segi-Doll) (17) with age-group proportions (18 age groups) to enable international comparison.

To quantify the change in the number of incident cancer cases during the COVID-19 pandemic for all cancer sites, we calculated the standardized incidence ratio (SIR), which is the ratio of the observed to expected number of new cancer cases. The observed numbers of cases were retrieved from the GPCR database. We attempted to predict the expected number of incident cancer cases in 2020, together with crude and age-standardized incidence rate (ASR), deploying GPCR data encompassing ten years before the COVID-19 pandemic (2010-2019; 159 436 individuals diagnosed with cancer), using simple linear regression analysis. A simple linear regression analysis was chosen because, according to literature, it outperforms other machine-learning models when used for predicting cancer incidence rates (18). We created 222 models 0.4 (number of cases ~ year; crude incidence ~ year; standardized incidence ~ year), one for each ICD-10-sex-predicted variable ca combination. Formal goodness-of-fit was calculated using the symmetric mean absolute percentage error (SMAPE) (19). The tu SMAPE is an accuracy measure expressed as percentage errors and tis represents the size of a "typical" objective error in the model, He

overcoming the shortcomings of the original mean absolute percentage error. The 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated assuming a Poisson distribution. To assess the COVID-19 pandemic-attributable gap in cancer incidence in 2020, we calculated the incidence rate difference (IRD)

incidence in 2020, we calculated the incidence rate difference (IRD) by subtracting the expected ASR from the observed ASR. The IRD should be interpreted as the number of cancer cases per 100 000 person-years not identified due to the pandemic.

Statistical analyses were performed using R (version 4.1.2).

### 2.4 Compliance with ethical standards

According to Polish legislation, individual-level data from the GPCR can be used for statistics in aggregate form and for scientific purposes. The GPCR obeys strict regulations to secure confidentiality and protection of individuals. This study was conducted in accordance with the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines (20).

## **3** Results

## 3.1 Cancer incidence overall

In 2020, in Greater Poland, the expected number of new cancer cases was 18 154 (9 226 among males and 8 927 among females), while the observed number was 14 770 (7 336 among males and 7 434 among females; Table 1). This equates to a 20% decrease in the registered number of cancer cases in males (SIR 0.80, 95% CI 0.78 to 0.81) and 17% in females (SIR 0.83, 95% CI 0.81 to 0.85). Correspondingly, the registered ASR for all cancer sites decreased from 310 to 248 per 100 000 person-years for males and from 264 to 226 per 100 000 person-years for females. Hence, there were 62 new cancer cases less than expected per 100 000 men, and 39 new cancer cases less than expected per 100 000 women.

## 3.2 Cancer site and sex

The distribution of changes in registered cancer incidence was similar between sexes. Among men, the most significant difference between the observed and expected incidence was reported for myeloma (SIR 0.59, 95% CI 0.45 to 0.77; Table 1), followed by thyroid cancer (SIR 0.65, 95% CI 0.49 to 0.84), leukaemia (SIR 0.68, 95% CI 0.58 to 0.81), and skin cancer (SIR 0.69, 95% CI 0.64 to 0.74). Among women, the lowest significant SIR was found in bone cancer (SIR 0.47, 95% CI 0.20 to 0.93), followed by myeloma (SIR 0.69, 95% CI 0.53 to 0.88), thyroid cancer (SIR 0.73, 95% CI 0.64 to

0.82), and skin cancer (SIR 0.73, 95% CI 0.68 to 0.78). The observed incidence was higher than expected in females diagnosed with cancer of an unspecified site (SIR 1.19, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.38). There was no meaningful change in the registered incidence of tumours that are more common in younger age groups, namely soft tissues and central nervous system malignancies, and Hodgkin lymphoma.

### 3.3 Age group and sex

Among children, adolescents, and young adults, the decrease in cancer incidence was significant only among males aged 30-34 years (SIR 0.76, 95% CI 0.59 to 0.97; Table 2). Among older adults, the decrease in newly diagnosed cancer cases was more pronounced with rising age at diagnosis. In males all age groups  $\geq$ 55 years were affected, with the most significant decrease in the observed incidence among 65-69-year-olds (SIR 0.69, 95% CI 0.66 to 0.73) and among 75-79-year-olds (SIR 0.71, 95% CI 0.66 to 0.77). Among females aged  $\geq$ 55 years negative changes were also observed, with the most significant decrease in 80-84-year-olds (SIR 0.74, 95% CI 0.68 to 0.84) and 85+-year-olds (SIR 0.66, 95% CI 0.59 to 0.73).

## 4 Discussion

#### 4.1 The relevance of the study

We assessed the influence of the COVID-19 pandemic on cancer incidence in Greater Poland, one of the largest administrative regions in Poland. In contrast with existing literature which compares yearly epidemiological observations, this study uses a calculation method juxtaposing observed new cancer cases with expected numbers. The added value of this study lies in a more precise assessment of the gap caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, as 2020 data are contrasted with predicted numbers for this year rather than past epidemiological data, taking into account expected changes in cancer incidence over time.

In addition, contrary to other European cancer registries that reported disruptions in cancer registration (21), during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic, the GPCR continued the registration process and provided a reliable dataset for such an analysis. The continued work of the GPCR was possible because of the hybrid work model and the division of the registry staff into separate teams without close contact.

# 4.2 Main findings of the study in the context of Polish literature

One of our main observations was the decrease in the registered number of new cancer cases in 2020 by 20% in males and 17% in females compared with the number of cases expected in that year. Our findings broadly support previous estimates by the PLCR, which compared the number of incident cancer cases in 2020 versus 2019 and reported a difference of -15% in Polish males and -11% in TABLE 1 The observed and expected crude number of new cancer cases, standardized incidence ratio (SIR) together with 95% confidence interval (CI) and symmetric mean absolute percentage error (SMAPE), crude and age-standardized incidence rate (ASR), and incidence rate difference (IRD), by sex and ICD-10 – Greater Poland, 2020.

Site (ICD-10)	Sex	Value	Cases	SIR (95% CI)	SMAPE %	Crude	ASR (World)	IRD
All sites (C00-C96, D00-D09)	Male	Observed	7 336	0.80 (0.78 to 0.81)	1.38	429.62	248.19	-62.24
		Expected	9 226			541.90	310.42	
	Female	Observed	7 434	0.83 (0.81 to 0.85)	1.28	412.23	225.81	-38.62
		Expected	8 927			495.04	264.43	
	Mala	Observed	265	/	6.20	15.52	9.36	-2.41
Head and neck	Male	Expected	330	0.80 (0.71 to 0.91)		19.40	11.77	
(C00-C14)		Observed	104	/		5.77	2.87	-1.13
	Female	Expected	135	0.77 (0.63 to 0.93)	5.35	7.54	4.00	
		Observed	1 652		1.00	96.75	54.59	10.05
Digestive organs	Male	Expected	2 067	0.80 (0.76 to 0.84)	1.86	121.39	67.94	-13.35
(C15-C26)		Observed	1 259		1.01	69.81	32.30	-5.31
	Female	Expected	1 495	0.84 (0.80 to 0.89)	1.84	83.18	37.62	
	261	Observed	1 261		2.84	73.85	41.19	-8.18
Respiratory organs	Male	Expected	1 543	0.82 (0.77 to 0.86)		90.52	49.38	
(C30-C39)		Observed	718		3.66	39.81	18.60	-4.93
	Female	Expected	889	0.81 (0.75 to 0.87)		49.50	23.53	
	Male	Observed	12	0.67 (0.34 to 1.16)	24.49	0.70	0.61	-0.49
Bones		Expected	18			1.08	1.10	
(C40-C41)	Female	Observed	8	0.47 (0.20 to 0.93)	16.90	0.44	0.42	-0.35
		Expected	17			0.92	0.77	
	Male	Observed	746	0.69 (0.64 to 0.74)	5.39	43.69	23.51	-11.42
Skin		Expected	1 078			63.31	34.94	
(C43-C44)		Observed	794	0.73 (0.68 to 0.78)	4.63	44.03	20.83	-7.08
	Female	Expected	1 092			60.82	27.91	
	Male	Observed	59	0.84 (0.64 to 1.09)	5.18	3.46	2.74	-0.24
Soft tissues		Expected	70			4.11	2.98	
(C45-C49)	Female	Observed	58	0.84 (0.64 to 1.09)	9.55	3.22	2.01	-0.69
		Expected	69			3.82	2.70	
	Male	Observed	10	0.77 (0.37 to 1.41)	21.77	0.59	0.37	-0.06
Breast (C50)		Expected	13			0.77	0.42	
	Female	Observed	1 848	0.88 (0.84 to 0.92)	2.40	102.47	61.63	-6.30
		Expected	2 101			116.95	67.93	
Female genital organs	Female	Observed	988	0.83 (0.78 to 0.88)	3.33	54.79	30.67	-5.72
(C51-C59)		Expected	1 196			66.51	36.40	
Male genital organs		Observed	1 610		4.11	94.29	53.96	-13.24
Male genital organs (C60-C63)	Male	Expected	2 005	0.80 (0.76 to 0.84)		117.87	67.20	

(Continued)

#### TABLE 1 Continued

Site (ICD-10)	Sex	Value	Cases	SIR (95% CI)	SMAPE %	Crude	ASR (World)	IRD
Urinary tract (C64-C68)	Male	Observed	719	0.80 (0.74 to 0.86)	5.05	42.11	23.68	-5.79
		Expected	896			52.62	29.47	
		Observed	327	/		18.13	8.99	-2.53
	Female	Expected	431	0.76 (0.68 to 0.85)	4.10	24.02	11.53	
	<b>N</b> (1)	Observed	154		7.06	9.02	6.40	-1.04
Central nervous system	Male	Expected	168	0.92 (0.78 to 1.07)		9.89	7.44	
(C69-C72)		Observed	134			7.43	4.81	-0.65
	Female	Expected	151	0.89 (0.74 to 1.05)	6.01	8.41	5.46	
		Observed	57			3.34	2.46	
Thyroid	Male	Expected	88	0.65 (0.49 to 0.84)	6.85	5.19	3.90	-1.44
(C73-C75)		Observed	273			15.14	12.91	-3.62
	Female	Expected	375	0.73 (0.64 to 0.82)	6.73	20.88	16.53	
		Observed	172			10.07	5.59	+0.68
	Male	Expected	162	1.06 (0.91 to 1.23)	6.61	9.51	4.91	
Unspecified (C76-C80)		Observed	164		11.01	9.09	3.79	+0.76
	Female	Expected	138	1.19 (1.01 to 1.38)		7.65	3.03	
	Male	Observed	40	0.93 (0.66 to 1.27)	11.17	2.34	2.50	+0.24
Hodgkin lymphoma		Expected	43			2.51	2.26	
(C81)	Female	Observed	46	1.21 (0.89 to 1.61)	7.97	2.55	2.34	+0.39
		Expected	38			2.11	1.95	
		Observed	155	0.84 (0.71 to 0.99)	7.41	9.08	5.99	-0.72
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	Male	Expected	184			10.79	6.70	
(C82-C88)		Observed	163	0.97 (0.83 to 1.13)	6.83	9.04	4.99	+0.12
	Female	Expected	168			9.37	4.86	
		Observed	57		8.55	3.34	1.89	-1.36
Myeloma	Male	Expected	96	0.59 (0.45 to 0.77)		5.65	3.24	
(C90)		Observed	64	0.69 (0.53 to 0.88)	16.14	3.55	1.75	-0.54
	Female	Expected	93			5.19	2.30	
	Male	Observed	141	0.68 (0.58 to 0.81)	9.93	8.26	5.91	-2.36
Leukaemia (C91-C96)		Expected	206			12.09	8.27	
	Female	Observed	132	0.79 (0.66 to 0.94)	8.00	7.32	4.86	-0.54
		Expected	167			9.27	5.40	
	Male	Observed	226	0.88 (0.77 to 1.00)	15.08	13.24	7.45	-1.07
In situ		Expected	258			15.20	8.51	
In situ (D00-D09)	Female	Observed	354	0.95 (0.86 to 1.06)	6.26	19.63	12.03	-0.49
		Expected	372			20.74	12.52	

Statistically significant values are presented in bold.

TABLE 2 The observed and expected crude number of new cancer cases, standardized incidence ratio (SIR) together with 95% confidence interval (CI) and symmetric mean absolute percentage error (SMAPE), crude and age-standardized incidence rate (ASR), and incidence rate difference (IRD), by sex and age group – Greater Poland, 2020.

Age group	Sex	Value	Cases	SIR (95% CI)	SMAPE %	Crude	ASR (World)	IRD
Mal 0 - 4 years Fema		Observed	23	1.00 (0.63 to 1.50)	19.71	23.30	2.80	0.11
	Male	Expected	23			24.25	2.91	-0.11
		Observed	25	1.32 (0.85 to 1.94)	14.51	26.79	3.22	+0.72
	Female	Expected	19		14.71	20.79	2.49	
	Observed	11			11.00	1.10		
5 0	Male	Expected	11	1.00 (0.50 to 1.79)	16.05	10.40	1.04	+0.06
5 - 9 years	Pourde	Observed	12	1.20 (0.62.5.2.10)	17.17	12.69	1.27	+0.26
	Female	Expected	10	1.20 (0.62 to 2.10)	17.17	10.09	1.01	
	Male	Observed	11	$0.02(0.46 \pm 1.64)$	31.18	10.81	0.97	-0.20
10 14	Male	Expected	12	0.92 (0.46 to 1.64)	51.18	13.07	1.18	-0.20
10 - 14 years	Pourde	Observed	9	0.75 (0.24 (c. 1.42)	22.27	9.43	0.85	-0.33
	Female	Expected	12	0.75 (0.34 to 1.42)	33.37	13.12	1.18	
	Mala	Observed	12			13.84	1.25	-0.61
15 10	Male	Expected	17	0.71 (0.36 to 1.23)	12.17	20.59	1.85	
15 - 19 years	Female	Observed	7	0.54 (0.22 to 1.11)	13.28	8.51	0.77	-0.71
	remaie	Expected	13			16.40	1.48	
	Male	Observed	35	1.25 (0.87 to 1.74)	13.30	36.22	2.90	+0.50
20 24	Male	Expected	28			29.92	2.39	
20 - 24 years	Female	Observed	26	0.70 (0.46 to 1.03)	8.33	27.90	2.23	-0.97
	remaie	Expected	37			39.96	3.20	
	Male	Observed	47	0.85 (0.63 to 1.14)	7.21	39.69	3.18	-0.55
25 20	Male	Expected	55			46.56	3.72	
25 - 29 years	P	Observed	77	0.96 (0.76 to 1.20)	6.11	67.15	5.37	-0.12
	Female	Expected	80			68.64	5.49	
		Observed	66	0.76 (0.59 to 0.97)	9.42	48.39	2.90	-0.63
20 24	Male	Expected	87			58.95	3.54	
30 - 34 years	P	Observed	163	1.10 (0.94 to 1.28)	4.39	123.11	7.39	+1.22
	Female	Expected	148			102.79	6.17	
	Mala	Observed	107	0.95 (0.78 to 1.14)	6.78	70.42	4.23	-0.16
	Male	Expected	113			73.04	4.38	
35 - 39 years		Observed	239	1.00 (0.88 to 1.14)	5.81	161.18	9.67	+0.13
	Female	Expected	239			158.94	9.54	
	Male	Observed	146	0.96 (0.81 to 1.13)	7.26	102.04	6.12	0.22
40 44		Expected	152			105.77	6.35	-0.22
40 - 44 years		Observed	348	0.00 (0.01		248.95	14.94	-1.81
	Female	Expected	388	0.90 (0.81 to 1.00)	3.62	279.10	16.75	

(Continued)

#### TABLE 2 Continued

Age group	Sex	Value	Cases	SIR (95% CI)	SMAPE %	Crude	ASR (World)	IRD
Male 45 - 49 years Female		Observed	181	0.87 (0.74 to 1.00)	4.93	148.49	8.91	-2.25
	Male	Expected	209			185.95	11.16	
	El.	Observed	414		5.00	342.85	20.57	-3.84
	Female	Expected	450	0.92 (0.83 to 1.01)	5.08	406.79	24.41	
	Male	Observed	312	1.01 (0.00 (	3.32	311.59	15.58	-1.53
50 54	Male	Expected	310	1.01 (0.90 to 1.12)		342.11	17.11	
50 - 54 years	Earnala	Observed	484	$0.04(0.96 \pm 0.102)$	2.62	474.92	23.75	-3.78
	Female	Expected	515	0.94 (0.86 to 1.03)		550.44	27.52	
		Observed	591	0.00 (0.74 to 0.07)	2.20	592.66	23.71	-3.93
<b>55 50 </b>	Male	Expected	739	0.80 (0.74 to 0.87)	3.39	691.01	27.64	
55 - 59 years	E	Observed	653	0.01 (0.75 to 0.07)		615.63	24.63	-3.82
	Female	Expected	808	0.81 (0.75 to 0.87)	3.43	711.05	28.44	
	Male	Observed	1 114	/	2.0	1005.30	40.21	-11.48
60 64	Male	Expected	1 499	0.74 (0.70 to 0.79)	2.60	1292.38	51.70	
60 - 64 years	Female	Observed	1 012	0.90 (0.76 to 0.95)	2.54	810.23	32.41	-5.86
	remaie	Expected	1 259	0.80 (0.76 to 0.85)		956.77	38.27	
		Observed	1 500	0.69 (0.66 to 0.73)	6.22	1558.15	46.74	-13.38
65 - 69 years	Male	Expected	2 169			2004.18	60.13	
	Female	Observed	1 273	0.75 (0.71 to 0.79)	7.46	1075.41	32.26	-6.29
	remate	Expected	1 706			1285.12	38.55	
	Male	Observed	1 585	0.96 (0.91 to 1.00)	9.63	2163.29	43.27	13.82 6.26
70 74	Male	Expected	1 658			2854.27	57.09	
70 - 74 years Female	Earnala	Observed	1 219	1.02 (0.97 to 1.08)	9.46	1230.37	24.61	
	remaie	Expected	1 193			1543.49	30.87	
	Male	Observed	715	$0.71 (0.66 \pm 0.77)$	2.71	2309.43	23.09	-8.03
	Male	Expected	1 002	0.71 (0.66 to 0.77)		3112.04	31.12	
75 - 79 years	Female	Observed	583	0.74 (0.68 to 0.80)	4.07	1199.64	12.00	-3.25
	remate	Expected	788			1524.88	15.25	
Male 80 - 84 years Female	Mala	Observed	545	0.78 (0.72 to 0.85)	3.87	2336.25	11.68	-2.90
	Male	Expected	697			2916.85	14.58	
	Famala	Observed	513	0.74 (0.68 to 0.81)	2.77	1133.78	5.67	-1.84
	remaie	Expected	690			1502.53	7.51	-1.84
	M-1-	Observed	335	0.75 (0.68 to 0.84)	7.62	1911.66	9.56	-2.99
95 L	Male	Expected	444			2509.36	12.55	
85+ years	East 1	Observed	377	0.66 (0.59 to 0.73)	5.35	845.10	4.23	-2.07
	Female	Expected	574			1259.98	6.30	

Statistically significant values are presented in bold.

Polish females (22). The differences described by the PLCR varied by region and were highest in one of the northern regions, Pomorskie (-30%). For Greater Poland, the reported difference was -15% in males and -11% in females. The discrepancy between the present analysis and the PLCR report relates to the fact that this study compared the 2020 observations with expected numbers derived from a broader historical period, which reflects a further increase in cancer incidence more accurately. In other words, we compared the observed number of cases with a broader data set, encompassing 2019 data.

Other Polish observations, which were based on multidisciplinary team (MDT) reports, showed a 20% drop in new, suspected cancer cases in 2020 compared to 2019 (23). One of the possible explanations for such large differences in MDT reports (percentage difference greater than in our study) might be the fact that not all cases analysed by the MDT had to be later confirmed as malignant neoplasms.

# 4.3 Main findings of the study in the context of European literature

The first published reports on the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on cancer incidence metrics deployed year-over-year comparisons. They showed that there were fewer incident cancer cases in 2020 than in 2019. Notwithstanding the differences in the calculation method and the periods analysed, one can observe that the decrease in the number of new cancer cases found in our study is larger than that in other European countries. For example, a Belgian report showed that despite a 44% reduction in new cancer diagnoses in April 2020 compared with April 2019, the annual decline in 2020 reached 6% (24). Contrary to our study, the most significant decrease was observed in head and neck cancers. On the other hand, our observations were similar regarding childhood cancers (no relative change found) and the impact of age on the decrease in cancer incidence. However, while in Poland we observed noteworthy changes in patients aged ≥55 years, in Belgium considerable differences were observed in individuals aged 80 years or older. Another example is a German study, which compared the number of patients newly diagnosed with cancer in general and specialised medical practices between April 2020 and March 2021 with the corresponding numbers from April 2019 to March 2020, and found a 7% decrease in adults (25). A Dutch study also found a considerable change in the number of new cancer diagnoses for all cancer sites during the first months of the pandemic, with the biggest difference in the case of skin and breast cancers (26). The authors indicated problems with access to GPs when displaying non-specific symptoms, choosing telemedicine services for most non-acute issues, and postponing hospital-based diagnostic examinations as the main possible reasons for such observations. In Poland, these factors may have also contributed to the decrease in the observed number of incident cancer cases (12).

## 4.4 Cancer incidence gap by sex and age

In our study, the decrease in new cancer cases was greater in males than in females. This could be linked to higher COVID-19 mortality observed among men especially in urban areas (27), which might have influenced the number of malignancies potentially undiagnosed due to COVID-19-related deaths. Furthermore, the impact of the pandemic on the observed cancer incidence varied by age group and was most significant in patients aged  $\geq$ 55 years. These observations are somewhat coherent with those from Germany (25) and the Spanish region of Catalonia (28). They might relate to the fact that stay-at-home advice was addressed mainly to seniors. Contrary to an English study, which reported a decrease in new childhood cancer diagnoses (29), neither our analysis nor Canadian (30) or German (31) reports confirmed such a trend.

### 4.5 Changes in incidence by cancer site

*Head and neck*. Consistently with previous data from the USA (-25%) (32) and Belgium (-14%) (24), we observed a 20% reduction in new head and neck cancer diagnoses among males and 23% among females.

*Digestive system.* The COVID-19 pandemic posed severe challenges to all aspects of colorectal cancer diagnostics and treatment in many settings (33, 34). Such limited access to diagnosis and treatment in Greater Poland was reflected by the decrease in the number of new digestive system cancers in 2020 by 20% in males and 16% in females. A smaller decline in incidence was reported in Denmark (10%) (3) and Belgium (11%) (24), whereas a larger decrease was found in Hungary (20%) (35) and Spain (39%) (36). Since it has been proven that a four month delay in colorectal cancer treatment results in higher fatality rates and lower survival (37), further analyses need to assess the impact of the pandemic on these metrics.

Respiratory system. Despite eminence-based expectations that numerous computed tomography scans of the chest performed during the pandemic would increase the number of incident lung cancers, in 2020, we observed almost 20% fewer new respiratory cancer cases than expected. Other Dutch and Indian studies have also rejected the hypothesis of a higher number of incidental diagnoses of lung cancer during the pandemic (38, 39). The Polish incidence gap for respiratory system cancers was considerably larger than in other European countries, including Hungary (-14%) (35), Denmark (-4%) (3), and Belgium (-2%) (24). Considering the above and the fact that Polish COVID-19-positive patients diagnosed with lung cancer were characterised by worse performance upon admission, more advanced stage at diagnosis, and more deaths before treatment during the pandemic (40), further survival analyses should be performed.

Breast. In Greater Poland, in 2020, the number of new female breast cancer cases was 12% lower than expected, which is

consistent with observations from other former Eastern Bloc countries, including Hungary (-16%) (35) and Slovenia (-17%) (5). A smaller incidence gap was observed in Western Europe, namely Belgium (6%) (24) and Denmark (8%) (3). Although analyses of patients diagnosed in 2020 have found no differences in stage at diagnosis (41), the delay in breast cancer diagnosis is expected to affect the stage in the years following the pandemic. Such signals came from Greater Poland, where the first significant breast cancer stage shift was observed in patients diagnosed in 2021 (42).

*Female genital organs.* Our findings show a 17% reduction in the number of registered cancer cases. Even higher decreases were reported in 2020 in Austria (45%) (43) and Northern England (26%) (44). The group of female genital malignancies is diverse, according to the average age at diagnosis and the presence of population-based screening. Hence, the overall COVID-19 influence on the number of observed diagnoses should only serve as an introduction for further studies.

In situ neoplasms. The ability to diagnose cancer at an early stage is one of the measures of healthcare system oncological diagnostics performance. In Greater Poland, we identified a 12% decrease in male *in situ* cancers and no significant change in females. This is probably caused by the structure of preinvasive malignancies that differs between the sexes. In men, it is dominated by bladder cancer and in women by breast cancer. The findings regarding *in situ* neoplasms correspond with invasive tumours of the male urinary tract (-20%) and female breast (-12%).

The key strength of this study is the usage of the populationbased cancer registry data, covering the whole population of Greater Poland with high completeness, achieved by active registration practices. Another advantage of this study is providing a comparison with the predicted values based on a long history of the registry operation using well-fitted models with acceptable SMAPE. The study is somewhat limited by the lack of a more detailed picture of pandemic-related changes in cancer burden in 2021, which is caused by lag time in cancer registration, typical for cancer registries.

The COVID-19 pandemic negatively affected the registered cancer incidence in Greater Poland in 2020. The number of new cancer diagnoses decreased by 20% among males and 17% among females, more than among other European populations. The gap was most significant in the 55+-year age group. The observed decrease could be linked to the shortages in Polish healthcare resources, already limited in the pre-pandemic period, which struggled to maintain oncological services, especially outside of the comprehensive cancer centres and due to stay-at-home policy primarily enforced among seniors.

The observed incidence was affected in most cancer sites, with the most significant deviation from the expected one in the case of myeloma. An increase in the observed incidence was reported only in women diagnosed with cancer of an unspecified site, which might reflect shortages in access to oncological diagnostics.

The reported decrease in cancer incidence due to the pandemic indicates the need for further studies focused on the possible

consequences of postponed diagnostics and treatment, including changes in survival metrics and healthcare expenditures.

## Data availability statement

The agregated data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## Author contributions

Conceptualization: MT, IM, PR, WK. Methodology: IM, MT. Software: IM. Formal analysis: IM. Data Curation: MT, IM. Project administration: MT. Writing – original draft: MT, IM. Writing – review & editing: MT, IM, PR, WK. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. Open access publication fee was paid by the Greater Poland Cancer Centre.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the registrars from the Greater Poland Cancer Registry that were responsible for collecting of the reliable data for this study.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2023.1230289/ full#supplementary-material

## References

1. OECD, European Union. Health at a glance: europe 2020: state of health in the EU cycle. OECD (2020). doi: 10.1787/82129230-en

2. Angelini M, Teglia F, Astolfi L, Casolari G, Boffetta P. Decrease of cancer diagnosis during COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Epidemiol* (2023) 38:31–8. doi: 10.1007/s10654-022-00946-6

3. Skovlund CW, Friis S, Christensen J, Nilbert MC, Mørch LS. Drop in cancer diagnosis during the COVID-19 pandemic in Denmark: assessment of impact during 2020. *Acta Oncol* (2022) 61:658–61. doi: 10.1080/0284186X.2021.2024879

 Johansson ALV, Larønningen S, Skovlund CW, Kristiansen MF, Mørch LS, Friis S, et al. The impact of the COVID -19 pandemic on cancer diagnosis based on pathology notifications: A comparison across the Nordic countries during 2020. *Intl J Cancer* (2022) 151:381–95. doi: 10.1002/jic.34029

5. Zagar T, Tomsic S, Zadnik V, Bric N, Birk M, Vurzer B, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on cancer burden and cancer care in Slovenia: a follow-up study. *Radiol Oncol* (2022) 56:488–500. doi: 10.2478/raon-2022-0050

6. Stefura T, Rymarowicz J, Wysocki M, Szeliga J, Wallner G, Pędziwiatr M, et al. Surgical care in Poland after COVID-19 outbreak: a national survey. *Folia Med Cracov* (2020) 60:33–51. doi: 10.24425/fmc.2020.135794

7. Malicki J, Martenka P, Dyzmann-Sroka A, Paczkowska K, Leporowska E, Suchorska W, et al. Impact of COVID-19 on the performance of a radiation oncology department at a major comprehensive cancer centre in Poland during the first ten weeks of the epidemic. *Rep Pract Oncol Radiother* (2020) 25:820-7. doi: 10.1016/j.rpor.2020.08.001

8. Koczkodaj P, Kamiński M, Ciuba A, Didkowska J. Cancer screening coverage in Poland – from bad to better to the worst during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. *Arch Med Sci* (2021) 17:1132–3. doi: 10.5114/aoms/134239

9. Koczkodaj P, Sulkowska U, Kamiński MF, Didkowska J. SARS-CoV-2 as a new possible long-lasting determining factor impacting cancer death numbers. Based on the example of breast, colorectal and cervical cancer in Poland. *Nowotwory J Oncol* (2021) 71:42–6. doi: 10.5603/NJO.2021.0007

10. Appel S, Lawrence YR, Symon Z, Kaidar-Person O. COVID-RO study: the radiation oncology practice at times of COVID-19 outbreak - international survey. *Rep Pract Oncol Radiother* (2021) 26:20–8. doi: 10.5603/RPOR.a2021.0003

11. Dinmohamed AG, Cellamare M, Visser O, de Munck L, Elferink MAG, Westenend PJ, et al. The impact of the temporary suspension of national cancer screening programmes due to the COVID-19 epidemic on the diagnosis of breast and colorectal cancer in the Netherlands. *J Hematol Oncol* (2020) 13:147. doi: 10.1186/ s13045-020-00984-1

12. Mularczyk-Tomczewska P, Zarnowski A, Gujski M, Jankowski M, Bojar I, Wdowiak A, et al. Barriers to accessing health services during the COVID-19 pandemic in Poland: A nationwide cross-sectional survey among 109,928 adults in Poland. *Front Public Health* (2022) 10:986996. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.986996

13. Pikala M, Burzyńska M. Excess mortality during the coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19) in Poland. *Eur J Public Health* (2022) 32:ckac131.197. doi: 10.1093/eurpub/ckac131.197

14. Excess mortality in the EU between January 2020 and December 2022. *Eurostat* . Available at: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=Excess\_ mortality\_-\_statistics#Excess\_mortality\_in\_the\_EU\_between\_January\_2020\_and\_ December\_2022 (Accessed February 23, 2023).

15. Główny Urząd Statystyczny / Obszary tematyczne / Ludność . Available at: https://stat.gov.pl/obszary-tematyczne/ludnosc/ (Accessed March 2, 2023).

16. Didkowska J, Wojciechowska U, Michalek IM, Caetano dos Santos FL. Cancer incidence and mortality in Poland in 2019. *Sci Rep* (2022) 12:10875. doi: 10.1038/ s41598-022-14779-6

17. Bray F, Ferlay J. Chapter 7: Age standardization IARC scientific publications (2014) 164 Pt 1 (2014):112–5.

18. Sekeroglu B, Tuncal K. Prediction of cancer incidence rates for the European continent using machine learning models. *Health Inf J* (2021) 27:146045822098387. doi: 10.1177/1460458220983878

19. Flores BE. A pragmatic view of accuracy measurement in forecasting. *Omega* (1986) 14:93–8. doi: 10.1016/0305-0483(86)90013-7

20. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *BMJ* (2007) 335:806–8. doi: 10.1136/bmj.39335.541782.AD

21. Neamțiu L, Martos C, Giusti F, Negrão Carvalho R, Randi G, Dimitrova N, et al. Impact of the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic on cancer registration and cancer care: a European survey. *Eur J Public Health* (2022) 32:311–5. doi: 10.1093/eurpub/ckab214

22. Wojciechowska U. *Cancer in Poland in 2020*. Available at: https://onkologia.org. pl/sites/default/files/publications/2023-01/nowotwory\_2020.pdf (Accessed March 12, 2023).

23. Maluchnik M, Podwójcic K, Więckowska B. Decreasing access to cancer diagnosis and treatment during the COVID-19 pandemic in Poland. *Acta Oncol* (2021) 60:28–31. doi: 10.1080/0284186X.2020.1837392

24. Peacock HM, Tambuyzer T, Verdoodt F, Calay F, Poirel HA, De Schutter H, et al. Decline and incomplete recovery in cancer diagnoses during the COVID-19 pandemic in Belgium: a year-long, population-level analysis. *ESMO Open* (2021) 6:100197. doi: 10.1016/j.esmoop.2021.100197

25. Jacob L, Kalder M, Kostev K. Decrease in the number of patients diagnosed with cancer during the COVID-19 pandemic in Germany. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* (2022) 148:3117–23. doi: 10.1007/s00432-022-03922-5

26. Dinmohamed AG, Visser O, Verhoeven RHA, Louwman MWJ, van Nederveen FH, Willems SM, et al. Fewer cancer diagnoses during the COVID-19 epidemic in the Netherlands. *Lancet Oncol* (2020) 21:750–1. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30265-5

27. Kuropka I, Rossa A, Wróblewska W, Wojtyniak B, Śleszyński P. Pandemia i jej skutki zdrowotne i demograficzne. *Pandemia i jej skutki zdrowotne i demograficzne* (2021). doi: 10.24425/140474

28. Ribes J, Pareja L, Sanz X, Mosteiro S, Escribà JM, Esteban L, et al. Cancer diagnosis in Catalonia (Spain) after two years of COVID-19 pandemic: an incomplete recovery. *ESMO Open* (2022) 7:100486. doi: 10.1016/j.esmoop.2022.100486

29. Saatci D, Oke J, Harnden A, Hippisley-Cox J. Childhood, teenage and young adult cancer diagnosis during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic: a populationbased observational cohort study in England. *Arch Dis Child* (2022) 107:740–6. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2021-322644

30. Pelland-Marcotte M-C, Xie L, Barber R, Elkhalifa S, Frechette M, Kaur J, et al. Incidence of childhood cancer in Canada during the COVID-19 pandemic. *CMAJ* (2021) 193:E1798–806. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.210659

31. Erdmann F, Wellbrock M, Trübenbach C, Spix C, Schrappe M, Schüz J, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on incidence, time of diagnosis and delivery of healthcare among paediatric oncology patients in Germany in 2020: Evidence from the German Childhood Cancer Registry and a qualitative survey. *Lancet Reg Health Eur* (2021) 9:100188. doi: 10.1016/j.lanepe.2021.100188

32. Kiong KL, Diaz EM, Gross ND, Diaz EM, Hanna EY. The impact of COVID -19 on head and neck cancer diagnosis and disease extent. *Head Neck* (2021) 43:1890–7. doi: 10.1002/hed.26665

33. Mazidimoradi A, Hadavandsiri F, Momenimovahed Z, Salehiniya H. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on colorectal cancer diagnosis and treatment: a systematic review. J Gastrointest Canc (2021) 54,1(2023):171–87. doi: 10.1007/s12029-021-00752-5

34. Morris EJA, Goldacre R, Spata E, Mafham M, Finan PJ, Shelton J, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the detection and management of colorectal cancer in England: a population-based study. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* (2021) 6:199–208. doi: 10.1016/S2468-1253(21)00005-4

35. Elek P, Csanádi M, Fadgyas-Freyler P, Gervai N, Oross-Bécsi R, Szécsényi-Nagy B, et al. Heterogeneous impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on lung, colorectal and breast cancer incidence in Hungary: results from time series and panel data models. *BMJ Open* (2022) 12:e061941. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-061941

36. Hijos-Mallada G, Alfaro E, Navarro M, Cañamares P, Ariño I, Charro M, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic in colorectal cancer diagnosis and presentation. *Gastroenterología y Hepatología* (2023) 46,9(2023):702-9. doi: 10.1016/j.gastrohep.2023.01.007

37. Luo Q, O'Connell DL, Yu XQ, Kahn C, Caruana M, Pesola F, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality in Australia from 2020 to 2044 and an exploratory analysis of the potential effect of treatment delays during the COVID-19 pandemic: a statistical modelling study. *Lancet Public Health* (2022) 7:e537–48. doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(22) 00090-1

38. Kilsdonk ID, Roos MP de, Bresser P, Reesink HJ, Peringa J. Frequency and spectrum of incidental findings when using chest CT as a primary triage tool for COVID-19. *Eur J Radiol Open* (2021) 8(2021):100366. doi: 10.1016/j.ejro.2021.100366

39. Dündar İ, Özkaçmaz S, Durmaz F, Çoban LT, Aygün G, Yıldız R, et al. Detection of incidental findings on chest CT scans in patients with suspected COVID-19 pneumonia. *Eastern J Med* (2021) 26:566–74. doi: 10.5505/ejm.2021.26428

40. Mojsak D, Dębczyński M, Kuklińska B, Minarowski Ł, Kasiukiewicz A, Moniuszko-Malinowska A, et al. Impact of COVID-19 in patients with lung cancer: A descriptive analysis. *IJERPH* (2023) 20:1583. doi: 10.3390/ijerph20021583

41. Tonneson JE, Hoskin TL, Day CN, Durgan DM, Dilaveri CA, Boughey JC. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on breast cancer stage at diagnosis, presentation, and patient management. *Ann Surg Oncol* (2022) 29:2231–9. doi: 10.1245/s10434-021-11088-6

42. Trojanowski M, Radomyski P, Matuszewski K, Litwiniuk M, Wierzchosławska E, Kycler W. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on breast cancer stage at diagnosis in a regional cancer center in Poland between 2019 and 2021. *J Pers Med* (2022) 12:1486. doi: 10.3390/jpm12091486

43. Knoll K, Reiser E, Leitner K, Kögl J, Ebner C, Marth C, et al. The impact of COVID-19 pandemic on the rate of newly diagnosed gynecological and breast cancers: a tertiary center perspective. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* (2022) 305:945–53. doi: 10.1007/ s00404-021-06259-5

44. Davies JM, Spencer A, Macdonald S, Dobson L, Haydock E, Burton H, et al. Cervical cancer and COVID-an assessment of the initial effect of the pandemic and subsequent projection of impact for women in England: A cohort study. *BJOG* (2022) 129:1133–9. doi: 10.1111/1471-0528.17098