

Article title: An Assessment of the Potential Value for Climate Remediation of Ocean Calcifiers in Sequestration of Atmospheric Carbon

Authors: David Moore[1], Matthias Heilweck[2], William Burton Fears M.D.[3], Peter Petros[4], Samuel James Squires[5], Elena Tamburini[6], Robert Paul Waldron, Jr.[7]

Affiliations: School of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The University of Manchester, UK (retired, independent researcher)[1], Private address, independent researcher, Kaysersberg, France[2], Retired Teaching Professor of Medicine, Southwestern Medical School, Dallas, Texas, & Founding Fellow of the American College of Endocrinology, USA[3], Kääpä Biotech Oy, Teilinummentie 4, 09120 Karjalohja, Finland[4], Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The University of Manchester, UK[5], Department of Environmental and Prevention Sciences, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy[6], Private address, independent researcher, Mandeville, Louisiana, USA[7]

Orcid ids: 0000-0003-3968-0587[1]

Contact e-mail: david@davidmoore.org.uk

License information: This work has been published open access under Creative Commons Attribution License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Conditions, terms of use and publishing policy can be found at https://www.scienceopen.com/.

Preprint statement: This article is a preprint and has not been peer-reviewed, under consideration and submitted to ScienceOpen Preprints for open peer review.

Funder: This research received no external funding, the authors funded it entirely from their own pockets.

DOI: 10.14293/S2199-1006.1.SOR-.PPGSZEY.v2

Preprint first posted online: 15 July 2022

Keywords: aquaculture, biotechnology, carbon sequestration, carbonate biology, carbonate chemistry, climate change, remediation.

2

3

4

5

16

45

Review Article

An Assessment of the Potential Value for Climate Remediation of Ocean Calcifiers in Sequestration of Atmospheric Carbon

David Moore^{1*}, Matthias Heilweck², William Burton Fears M.D.³, Peter Petros⁴, Samuel J. Squires⁵, Elena Tamburini⁶ and Robert Paul Waldron, Jr.⁷

¹ School of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The University of Manchester, UK	6
(Retired Reader in Genetics, University of Manchester; ex-Kan Tong Po Professorial Fellow at the Chinese	7
University of Hong Kong; independent researcher)	8
² Private address, independent researcher, Kaysersberg, France	9
³ Retired Teaching Professor of Medicine, Southwestern Medical School, Dallas, Texas, & Founding Fellow of	10
the American College of Endocrinology, USA	11
⁴ Kääpä Biotech Oy, Teilinummentie 4, 09120 Karjalohja, Finland	12
⁵ Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The University of Manchester, UK	13
⁶ Department of Environmental and Prevention Sciences, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy	14
⁷ Private address, independent researcher, Mandeville, Louisiana, USA	15

*Correspondence: David Moore, david@davidmoore.org.uk

Abstract: Today's marine calcifiers (coccolithophore algae, Foraminifera [protists], Mollusca, Crus-17 tacea, Anthozoa [corals], Echinodermata) remove carbon dioxide (CO2) from the atmosphere, con-18 verting it into solid calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) which is stable for geological periods of time. These 19 organisms could serve as a biotechnological carbon capture and storage mechanism to control cli-20 mate change. Two criticisms made about this are: (i) ocean acidification has allegedly been shown 21 to cause reduced shell formation in calcifiers; (ii) the calcification reaction that precipitates CaCO₃ 22 crystals into the shells is alleged to return CO₂ to the atmosphere. In this review we assess the evi-23 dence concerning such criticisms and find reasons to doubt both. Experiments showing that ocean 24 acidification is damaging to calcifiers have all used experimental pH levels that are not projected to 25 be reached in the oceans until the next century or later; today's oceans, despite recent changes, are 26 alkaline in pH. Claiming precipitation of CaCO₃ during calcification as a net source of CO₂ to the 27 atmosphere is an oversimplification of ocean chemistry that is true only in open water environ-28 ments. Living calcifiers do not carry out the calcification reaction in an open water environment in 29 equilibrium with the atmosphere. The chemistry that we know as life takes place on the surfaces of 30 enzymatic polypeptides, within organelles that have phospholipid membranes, contained in a cell 31 enclosed within another phospholipid bilayer membrane specifically to isolate the chemistry of life 32 from the open water environment. Ignoring what is known about the biology, physiology, and mo-33 lecular cell biology of living organisms, calcifiers of all types especially, leads to erroneous conclu-34 sions and deficient advice about the potential for calcifier biotechnology to contribute to atmosphere 35 remediation. Net removal of CO2 from the atmosphere by calcifiers is only achieved by the CaCO3 36 stored in the shell, coccoliths, or foram tests that are left when they die. To capitalise on this requires 37 a change in paradigm towards cultivating calcifiers for their CaCO₃ rather than their meat or other 38 products. We conclude that the world's aquaculture industries already operate the biotechnology 39 that, with massive and *immediate* global expansion, can contribute to sustainably controlling atmos-40 pheric CO₂ levels at reasonable cost and with several positive benefits in addition to carbon seques-41 tration. 42

Keywords:aquaculture;biotechnology;carbon sequestration;carbonate biology;carbonate chem-43istry;climate change;remediation.44

How to cite this paper: Moore, D.; Heilweck, M.; Fears, W.B., Petros, P.; Squires, S.J.; Tamburini, E.; Waldron Jr, R.P. An Assessment of the Potential Value for Climate Remediation of Ocean Calcifiers in Sequestration of Atmospheric Carbon. *Submitted for possible open access publication*

Received: date Accepted: date Published: date



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses /by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

In several recent publications we have advocated that shellfish farmers should 47 greatly expand their production specifically to generate more shell to sequester atmos-48 pheric carbon [1 - 9]. Our core conviction is that humankind must look to the oceans for 49 the solution to the excess CO₂ in the atmosphere that drives climate change, and that ma-50 rine calcifiers (coccolithophores, Foraminifera, Mollusca, Crustacea, Anthozoa, Echino-51 dermata) are the tools that will provide that solution. We consider that the action plans 52 we have suggested [7] offer the good news message that if we act quickly to change our 53 attitude to calcifier cultivation and, particularly, greatly magnify the global scale of this 54 activity, we could make a serious contribution to ameliorating climate change in the fore-55 seeable future. 56

Despite the positive messages of our publications referenced above, distinguished marine biologists have cast doubt on our claims by stating (we paraphrase) 'marine shellfish aquaculture could not make a contribution to climate mitigation'; two reasons being offered for this point of view: (i) seawater has become more acidic and shellfish species are shrinking in size and the shells deform and (ii) precipitation of calcium carbonate in shellfish shells is a source of carbon dioxide (CO₂) ...and the major way by which CO₂ is returned to the atmosphere (see 'Frequently Asked Questions' section in [7]).

In this paper, we attempt to provide a different, *biological*, viewpoint of the published data bearing on these two specific issues, which we hope will show why cultivating calcifiers in the short term would be advantageous. We also include some comments about the psychological paradox of why, when we know more than enough about the climate system, we do so little to *control* climate change [11 - 13], being satisfied merely with coping with its outcomes. 69

Our conclusion remains positive. The most recent Life Cycle Assessments (LCA; de-70 scribed and referenced below) demonstrate that the shellfish cultivation industry offers 71 unique opportunities for permanently sequestering carbon while producing food, but if 72 significant carbon capture is to be achieved, the paradigm (and the business model of 73 shellfish farms around the world) must be changed *from* cultivating shellfish for food *to*-74 *wards* cultivating shellfish for their *shells*. If the level of finance and global effort that are 75 willingly anticipated for forest management and CCS (Carbon Capture and Storage) flue 76 gas treatments was applied to expansion of shellfish (and other calcifiers) cultivation 77 around the world, significant amounts of CO₂ could be removed from the atmosphere 78 with much greater permanence and less cost than any other solution can offer [9]. Start 79 now and by the end of this century the action plan could be contributing to returning the 80 CO₂ level in our atmosphere to its natural, pre-industrial level. 81

2. Basic Carbonate Chemistry

The chemistry involved in the process of shell-making, whether performed by planktonic algae (coccolithophores), single celled protists (Foraminifera) or multicellular animals (Anthozoa, Crustacea, Mollusca, Echinodermata) is described by the following scheme:

$Ca^{2+}(aq) + 2HCO_{3^{-}(aq)} \rightleftharpoons CaCO_{3(s)} + CO_{2(g)} + H_2O_{(l)}$	87
(in words: one divalent calcium ion _(aqueous) + two monovalent hydrogencarbonate ions _{(aque-}	88
$ous) \Rightarrow calcium carbonate(solid) + carbon dioxide(gas) + water(liquid))$	89

One molecule of CO₂ from the hydrogencarbonate ions of seawater is released, together with a molecule of water, during the calcification (biomineralisation) reaction.

Seawater is over-saturated with calcium ions and its concentration of hydrogencarbonate largely dominates that of carbonate and dissolved free CO₂. In these conditions, the molecule of CO₂ on the righthand side of the above scheme, *if* it is released to seawater during the biomineralisation of shells (which is very doubtful, as explained below), will react with water, forming carbonic acid which will dissociate forming hydrogencarbonate and hydrogen ions (protons) that would be available for marine calcifiers to form more 97

46

82 83

90

CaCO₃. Alternatively, the carbonic acid can dissociate to form a carbonate ion and two 98 hydrogen ions. These electrolyte dissociations and associations are described by these 99 schemes: 100

 $CO_2 + H_2O \rightleftharpoons H_2CO_3 \rightleftharpoons H^+ + HCO_3^- \rightleftharpoons 2H^+ + CO_3^{2-}$ 101

(in words: one molecule of carbon dioxide + one molecule of water \rightleftharpoons one molecule of 102 carbonic acid \rightleftharpoons one hydrogen ion + one monovalent hydrogencarbonate ion \rightleftharpoons two hy-103 drogen ions + one divalent carbonate ion)

This release of hydrogen ions is usually interpreted as causing potentially damaging 105 seawater acidification. Emerson and Hedges [14] describe carbonate dynamics (their 106 Chapter 4, Carbonate Chemistry) in terms that can be paraphrased as: 107

"As organisms form their shells from Ca and carbonate, alkalinity is being removed. 108 This causes the pH to drop which alters the speciation of the inorganic carbonate system 109 to alter in favor of CO2. Thus, the CO2 concentration increases and any gradient driving 110 the gas to the atmosphere increases." 111

Which is not so different from the following wording in Gattuso et al. [15]:

"There are three pools of oceanic DIC [Dissolved Inorganic Carbon]: HCO₃⁻ (90%), 113 CO₃-- (9%), and dissolved CO₂ (1%). The latter pool is close to equilibrium with the atmos-114 phere (present pCO₂ ca. 360 μ atm). The carbon atom incorporated into CaCO₃ is derived 115 from the HCO₃⁻ pool, with the consequence that H⁺ is liberated and the water gets more 116 acid. The acid pushes an additional amount of HCO₃⁻ across into the oceanic CO₂ pool. 117 There is then a physical equilibration between the seawater and atmosphere CO₂ pools, 118 and this physical equilibration pushes CO2 into the atmosphere." 119

We want to make it clear that we do not doubt, or query in any way these chemical 120 interpretations as they apply to the progress of inorganic chemistry in the open water 121 environment where it is doubtless perfectly true to say that: 122

"Calcification is therefore a CO2-releasing process that can make water in equilibrium 123 with the atmosphere degas, against the initial pCO₂ gradient" [15]. 124

But *living* calcifiers do not carry out the calcification reaction in an open water envi-125 ronment 'in equilibrium with the atmosphere'. The chemistry that we know as life takes place 126 in a cell enclosed within a phospholipid bilayer membrane specifically to isolate itself 127 from the open water environment. Many of the reaction trains upon which *life* depends 128 take place within organelles that have their own phospholipid membranes within the cell. 129 For example: mitochondria, that generate the chemical energy stored in adenosine tri-130 phosphate (ATP) and plastids, in which photosynthesis (or photosynthesis-related special 131 metabolic activity, like starch storage) takes place. Transporters within these membranes 132 control the movement of ions (including protons and inorganic ions), molecules and mac-133 romolecules to and from the compartments the membranes enclose. Some metabolites 134 may be allowed simply to diffuse across the phospholipid membrane or through pores in 135 the membrane(s); in other cases, diffusion may be facilitated by highly specific and selec-136 tive transporters; whilst linking a facilitated diffusion mechanism to an ATPase proton 137 transporter produces an active transport system that can transport molecules against, of-138 ten considerable, chemical diffusion gradients. 139

These selectively permeable phospholipid bilayer membranes isolate the cell from its 140 environment and the compartments within the cell from one another as the ion-specific 141 transporters across those membranes control the environments within cellular compart-142 ments to the benefit of the organism. 143

A case in point is that Foraminifera actively pump hydrogen ions (protons) out from 144 the site of calcification which is therefore surrounded by a low (acidic) external pH of their 145 own making [16]. Foraminifera are amoeba-like, single-celled protists that secrete a protec-146 tive shell (called a 'test' because it is intracellular). The most primitive tests are made from 147 cemented sand grains, but most are made of calcite or aragonite (CaCO₃) crystals. Tests 148are found in globally-extensive fossilized foraminifera limestones as old as the earliest 149 Cambrian, about 545 million years ago (Mya), and planktonic and benthic Foraminifera 150 are still abundant today, living in marine and brackish waters. 151

104

Kawahata et al. [16] focus on the response of two major calcifiers, Foraminifera and 152 corals, which together contribute significantly to global carbon sequestration in sediments 153 and reefs. They demonstrate that the response to acidified seawater today depends on 154 situations, species, community structure and life-cycle stage. Some Foraminifera showed 155 a positive response to low (acidic) pH conditions, while calcification in adult coral 156 branches was not reduced by high CO₂ concentrations. Direct visualization of pH distri-157 bution showed that proton (hydrogen ion) pumping by the cell which is associated with 158 foraminiferal calcification during chamber formation in the tests is independent of initial 159 seawater CO₂ concentration or pH and produces a high internal pH (more than pH 9 160 within the site of calcification) and large internal-to-external pH difference (as much as 2 161 pH units). 162

Corals also regulate their internal pH at the tissue-to-skeleton interface to levels that 163 could counteract ocean acidification [17, 18]. Salinity, temperature, the amount of light 164 and the amount of oxygen dissolved in the water are the most important factors that con-165 trol living foraminifera and coral polyps. Higher ocean temperatures do induce bleaching 166 of these marine calcifiers because their algal symbionts on which they depend for nutri-167 tion are temperature-sensitive (and this applies to corals, giant clams and Foraminifera). 168 Loss of the nutrition contributed by the photosynthetic symbiont to its host animal results 169 in malaise, reduced calcification and ultimately death of the host, although symbiotic 170 Foraminifera are more robust and resilient than coral polyps at higher temperatures. 171

Given the ability of important calcifying organisms to modify their own internal en-172 vironments in the ways indicated immediately above, our focus moves from a 'water in 173 equilibrium with the atmosphere' viewpoint, to a view guided by what we know about 174 the cellular biology of living things on Earth and in its oceans. It is our hope that we can 175 build upon the work that has already been done on carbonate chemistry in open water 176 environments and extend it to include the biological view of calcification chemistry. 177

3. Issue 1: Ocean acidification will have a harmful effect on the physiology of calcifying organisms

Acidity is measured in terms of the pH where the pH of a solution = -log[H+], which 180 is a logarithmic scale. A neutral solution has a pH of 7 and pH values less than 7 are con-181 sidered acidic, whilst pH values above 7 are alkaline (or basic). The Encyclopædia Univer-182 salis France (quoting Tanhua et al. 2015 [19]) states that: 183

"... Since the industrial era, the ocean's basic [alkaline] pH has fallen from 8.2 to 8.1. This drop of 0.1 unit corresponds to an increase in acidity of about 25% [because the scale 185 is logarithmic]..." [https://www.universalis.fr/encyclopedie/acidification-des-oceans/]. 186

To put these pH values into the context of our common experiences, the pH of fresh 187 orange juice ranges from 3.3 to 4.2, and fresh cow's milk about 6.7 to 6.9. Most black teas 188 are in the range 4.9 to 5.5, with black coffees averaging pH 4.8 to 5.1. These beverages are 189 considered weakly acidic, whilst the 'safe' pH level of drinks to avoid tooth damage is 190 deemed to be 5.5. 191

Fassbender et al. [20] found that pH changes vary between the many domains of the 192 world's oceans, being constrained by geographical position, depth, temperature, salinity 193 and current flows. They also highlight that pH provides a relative means for comparison 194 but considered that the absolute hydrogen ion concentrations of areas may help under-195 stand actual changes in our ocean better. Nevertheless, the *fact* remains that *today's oceans* 196 are still generally alkaline in pH. 197

Mean pH of surface ocean waters is predicted under the IPCC 'business-as-usual' 198 scenario [21] to decline by 0.3-0.4 units by 2100 AD [22, 23], and Brewer (1997) [22] tabu-199 lated the evolving chemistry of surface seawater under this scenario as shown in Table 1. 200

This decline in oceanic pH, both predicted and measured, is the very definition of 201 "ocean acidification". But in our view the description "acidification" (even though chemi-202 cally and semantically accurate) over dramatizes the situation, which can cause a psycholog-203 ical response in individuals leading to group inaction, as the group perception is too 204

178

179

alarming to resolve climate change/climate disruption [12, 13, 24]. When a solution is offered, we should DO something about it rather than dismiss the solution with illogical criticism. 207

Table 1. Estimated surface oceanic seawater pH between 1800 AD and 2100 AD1

Year	Predicted oceanic pH
1800	8.19
1996	8.10
1990	(actual value = 8.2)
2020	8.03
2020	(actual value = 8.1)
2040	7.97
2060	7.91
2080	7.85
2100	7.78

¹ Table adapted from Brewer (1997) [22]

The *facts* about ocean acidification are these:

- In 1800 AD the oceanic pH is estimated to have been a decidedly alkaline 8.2. 212
- In 2020 AD the oceanic pH was measured to be a decidedly alkaline 8.1. 213

• By 2100 AD the oceanic pH is predicted to be a decidedly alkaline 7.78.

The decreasing alkalinity of the oceans represented in these figures is not diminished in importance, and decreasing alkalinity on this scale is still

"... a powerful reason, in addition to that of climate change, for reducing global CO₂ emissions. Action needs to be taken now to reduce global emissions of CO₂ to the atmosphere to avoid the risk of irreversible damage to the oceans" [25].

Our point is that the descriptive phrase "decreasing alkalinity" does not provoke 220 wild fears of the White Cliffs of Dover fizzing away in an acid ocean by the end of the 221 century like a lump of chalk thrown into a bowl of vinegar (try *Googling* "chalk in vinegar 222 experiment"). It is important to control the phraseology to avoid thoughts that we might 223 be faced by a circumstance we are powerless to control. 224

According to Marshall (2015) [12]:

"There is some research evidence that people stop paying attention to climate change when they realize there is no easy solution for it." [12, p. 79 of chapter 16].

This is because of a subconscious human mechanism whereby we avoid uncomfortable emotions by rejecting facts that are too unpleasant to act on [13, 26]. A more positive conclusion is implied in the following quotations from Stoknes (2015) [13]:

"Evolutionary psychology highlights that imitating others is [an] efficient [strategy].
231
Among social animals, following the majority is good for learning and survival. But
232
233
234
235
235

We maintain that humanity is not powerless to control climate change. It is an historical fact that we humans had the power to cause our current circumstance as we developed our global industrial muscle; and now, with the aid of 200 years of accumulated scientific knowledge, we have the power bestowed by that knowledge to apply our industrial muscle to change our current circumstances to alleviate some of the future consequences. 236

There is a readily implemented contribution to efforts to regulate climate change by combining two proven special natural talents - the ability of calcifiers to remove carbon from the atmosphere immediately and permanently, and the ability of humans to get things done quickly. We can use the oceans of the planet to navigate our way out of the climate crisis of which we are now so aware [10, 27, 28]. 242 243 244 245 246

208

209 210

211

214

215

216

217

218

219

225

226

227

228

229

Coccolithophores are the most prolific producers of $CaCO_3$ in the oceans, accounting for almost half of the total $CaCO_3$ produced in today's oceans annually [29 - 31]. Evidence from the deep ocean indicates that over the past 220 years there has been a 40% *increase* in coccolith mass in the deep sea sediments [32]. Clearly, the coccolithophores have already reacted to the anthropogenic rise in atmospheric CO_2 partial pressures by doing what they have done before: detoxifying their environment. The difference this time is that they are providing humanity with the service of detoxifying atmospheric CO_2 . 248

Study of subantarctic populations of the most abundant coccolithophore calcifying 254 phytoplankton species, *Emiliania huxleyi*, found highly calcified morphotypes in more 255 acidified high-CO₂ conditions. Such observations challenge any claim that ocean acidification will *necessarily* be detrimental to algal calcifiers [33] even though it is also clear that 257 ocean acidification and elevated temperatures in relatively shallow tropical waters adversely impact the viability of the symbiotic algae of Foraminifera, corals and giant clams 260 alike.

Challenging this positive notion, Doney et al. (2009) [34] state that:

"... Many calcifying species exhibit reduced calcification and growth rates in laboratory experiments under high-CO₂ conditions..."

This is a fact that cannot be denied; but in laboratory experiments the pH used is in 264 the hands of the experimenter and all have chosen pH values representative of the end of this 265 century (or even later) rather than the present day. For example, Orr et al. (2005) [23] reported 266 that when live pteropods were exposed to conditions predicted for 2100 AD in a two-day 267 shipboard experiment, their shells showed notable dissolution. Pteropods are planktonic 268 molluscs that contribute to pelagic food webs worldwide, so this is bad news for ocean 269 biodiversity in 80 years time. Orr et al. (2005) [23] use these data to argue that conditions 270 detrimental to ocean "... ecosystems could develop within decades, not centuries as sug-271 gested previously ... " (the emphasis is ours) but this detail may not be appreciated by 272 those with deep fears for the here and now. 273

Other examples are experiments studying the effect of different pH treatments on 274 shell properties of the blood cockle (or blood clam), Tegillarca granosa, that used experi-275 mental pH values of 7.1 and 7.5 and a pH of 7.81 as a control [35]. This clam occurs in the 276 intertidal zone throughout the Indo-Pacific region, from South Africa through to South-277 east Asia, Australia, and Japan. It is widely harvested in coastal and estuarine mudflats so 278 the finding that "... The shell weight and shell density of T. granosa was significantly reduced 279 at pH 7.10..." [35] could have severe economic consequences for the industry. However, 280 this reduction in weight and density is in comparison with a control pH value (of 7.81) 281 which is not expected to be reached in our oceans until 2100 AD. Further reassurance for the 282 blood clam aquaculture industry for at least the next 300 years is that (again, the emphasis 283 in this quotation is ours): 284

"...However, the ocean acidification level of pH 7.50 which is predicted to occur by the year 2300 showed no significant decrease in shell weight and shell density of T. granosa compared to the control pH treatment (pH 7.81)" (Nithiyaa et al. 2021 [35]).

Fitzer et al. (2016) [36] have demonstrated significant changes in the hydrated and 288 dehydrated forms of amorphous CaCO₃ in the crystalline layers of shells of the blue (or 289 'common') mussel (Mytilus edulis) cultured under experimental acidification conditions. 290 This could be an important experimental observation as this edible marine bivalve mol-291 lusc has a global range and is the subject of a multi-million dollar intensive aquaculture 292 industry. However, these experiments used CO₂ concentrations that were 2¹/₂ times higher 293 than today's observed natural levels. It is unreasonable to predict present day detrimental 294 consequences for calcifiers on the basis of such extreme experimental procedures. 295

Another study with *Mytilus*, which used a similarly extreme upper CO₂ level, found 296 that the resultant acidification (or, as we prefer, *reduced alkalinity*) suggests a complex relationship between calcification and the various active components of climate change that 298 might ease the negative effects of increased sea temperatures on biomineralisation in the 299

261

262

263

285

286

7 of 22

mussel [37]. Even greater complexities become evident in organisms, like calcifying phy-300 toplankton (coccolithophores), that bring photosynthesis into the mix of variables [38]. 301

The publications reviewed so far illustrate the general trends in a fairly copious liter-302 ature into which we do not intend to delve further. Kroeker et al. (2013) carried out a 303 comprehensive meta-analysis of 155 studies examining biological responses to a 0.5 unit 304 reduction or less in mean seawater pH, which approximates projected acidification by 305 about 2100 AD. They found "... decreased survival, calcification, growth, development 306 and abundance in response to acidification when the broad range of marine organisms is 307 pooled together ..." but stressed variability: 308

"...in species' responses ... in multi-species assemblages, suggesting that it is im-309 portant to consider indirect effects and exercise caution when forecasting abundance pat-310 terns from single-species laboratory experiments. Furthermore, the results suggest that 311 other factors, such as nutritional status or source population, could cause substantial var-312 iation in organisms' responses. Last, the results highlight a trend towards enhanced sen-313 sitivity to acidification when taxa are concurrently exposed to elevated seawater temper-314 ature ..." [39]. 315

An additional unmentioned source of variability is that a broad range of calcifiers, 316 particularly molluscs, have lifestyles and physiologies that have evolved to cope with tidal 317 changes in their coastline habitats. At low tide the seawater within closed shells and, for 318 mobile animals, remaining seawater in rock pools and crevices, increases in temperature, 319 becoming anoxic and CO2-rich, conditions not far removed from those predicted for the wider 320 ocean in the distant future. This emersion being a twice-daily occurrence, the animals have 321 evolved adaptations to suit. 322

Bivalves need to maintain a large volume of water inside the mantle cavity, which is 323 enclosed by the shell, because the cavity functions as a respiratory chamber. The shell is 324 secreted by the outer epidermal layers of the mantle tissue and during the adverse condi-325 tions of emersion there is survival advantage in continuing to calcify the now firmly-326 closed, and possibly exposed-to-air, shell to reinforce the shell's valves against predation. 327

The mantle cavity also contains the main body tissues of the animal (gills, foot and 328 visceral mass of digestive tissues, reproductive organs, etc). In contrast to the shell, there 329 is survival advantage in reducing the rates of body tissue growth under prolonged emer-330 sion. If the soft tissue continued to grow steadily and came to occupy a larger part of the 331 space, there would be less water inside the shell to support the metabolic needs of the 332 increased tissue mass [40 – 42]. The tidal effects of the Moon are thought to have been a 333 key environmental factor in the evolution of life on Earth since the Moon was formed [43]. 334 These are not recent adaptations of shore-dwellers, but they have within them the physi-335 ological tools to cope with at least some of the more recent environmental challenges. 336

Remembering that all this concern about acidification applies to the future-relevant 337 pH levels of the next century, the commonly held view that anthropogenic CO₂ in the world's 338 oceans have reduced the pH of seawater to levels likely to have a harmful effect on the 339 physiology of calcifying organisms is not the case yet. 340

For oceans a lifetime into the future, acidification is a legitimate concern; but this is 341 irrelevant for the present day and its dire predictions should not be allowed to influence 342 our choice of mechanisms to control climate change today, nor our intent to put them into 343 effect immediately. We are not alone in this conclusion. Connell et al. (2017) [44] tested 344 the effects of ocean acidification on a calcifying gastropod herbivore in a volcanic CO₂ 345 vent ecosystem with local CO₂ levels close to those predicted for the world's future oceans. 346 They found that: 347

"... contrary to predictions, the abundance of this calcifier was greater at vent sites 348 (with near-future CO2 levels). Furthermore, translocation experiments demonstrated that 349 ocean acidification did not drive increases in gastropod abundance directly, but indirectly 350 as a function of increased habitat and food (algal biomass) [44]." 351

They concluded:

"...the effect of ocean acidification on algae (primary producers) can have a strong, 353 indirect positive influence on the abundance of some calcifying herbivores, which can 354 overwhelm any direct negative effects [44]." 355

The review paper entitled *Rebuilding marine life* [45] indicates that achieving the UN's Sustainable Development Goal 14 ("... to conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources for sustainable development ...")

"... will require rebuilding the marine life-support systems that deliver the many benefits that society receives from a healthy ocean ...". But they finally conclude that "... Rebuilding marine life represents a doable Grand Challenge for humanity, an ethical obligation and a smart economic objective to achieve a sustainable future ... [45]".

In the opinion of Duarte et al. (2020) [45], recovery rates seen in past studies of con-363 servation interventions suggest that: 364

"... substantial recovery of the abundance, structure and function of marine life could be achieved by 2050, if major pressures - including climate change - are mitigated ... [45]."

In their brief letter to the journal Science, Gordon et al. (2020) [46] asserted that "... Marine restoration projects are undervalued ..." and in their final paragraph they con-368 cluded: 369

"The pessimistic view of marine restoration as a fruitless exercise differs from atti-370 tudes about the rehabilitation of forest habitats that suffer equivalent large-scale degrada-371 tion. Generally, socioeconomic, ecological, and cultural values are appreciated in tree 372 planting, whether it involves a few saplings or millions ... Political agreements for global 373 reductions in atmospheric carbon have been slow to emerge. Relying on their implemen-374 tation as the only solution to the degradation of tropical habitats is a major gamble. In the 375 meantime, restoration projects could help maintain species survival and ecosystem ser-376 vices, ultimately providing humanity with the breathing space to stabilize the climate 377 [46]." 378

There is clearly a widely held view that protecting ocean health is important and 379 overdue. If the roles that ocean calcifiers could play in atmospheric carbon capture and 380 storage were to be factored into these arguments it might increase the urgency with which 381 ocean health is addressed. 382

Marshall (2015) [12] points out that science uses words like 'uncertainty' in a different way to the lay public. To avoid any thoughts of scientific uncertainty becoming a primary issue in this debate, we wish to emphasise that in relation to 'ocean acidification':

- The uncertainty lies in the doubts that exist about the future date at which the oceans • will become acidified to the point at which calcifiers are grossly adversely affected by oceanic pH. Will it be 2050 AD, 2100 AD or 2150 AD?
- In contrast, it is certain that calcifiers in their natural environments will be adversely 389 affected when the general ocean pH levels do reach the extreme levels that the exper-390 imenters choose to use in their laboratory experiments on the topic; 391
- It is equally certain that today's ocean pH has no general adverse effect on the behav-392 iour of our principle calcifiers, animal, plant, unicellular or multicellular, which in 393 many cases where the tests have been done, showed a positive response to today's 394 less alkaline (but described as acidified) pH conditions. 395

Consequently, today's calcifiers can be put immediately to the tasks of providing us 396 with nutritious food, numerous ecosystem services (filtration, biodeposition, denitrifica-397 tion, enhanced biodiversity, reef building for shoreline stabilisation, wave management 398 and coastal protection), whilst, incidentally, permanently sequestering CO₂ from the at-399 mosphere to the extent of at least half their mature body weight and depositing in the 400 oceans as present day fossilised limestone. 401

4. Issue 2: calcification makes a net return of CO₂ to the atmosphere

 $CaCO_3$ and CO_2 are produced from calcium ions and hydrogencarbonate ions by the 403 calcification reaction that proceeds according to the following scheme: 404405

 $2HCO_{3^{-}} + Ca^{2^{+}} \rightleftharpoons CaCO_{3} + CO_{2} + H_{2}O$ [reaction 1] [refs 47-49]

365 366 367

383

384

385

386

387

388

402

356

357

358

359

360

361

This calcifying reaction scheme shows that two hydrogencarbonate ions (which orig-406 inally were both derived from the atmosphere, photosynthetic fixation of atmospheric 407 CO₂ being the only source of metabolic carbon) react with a Ca²⁺ ion and one of them is 408 precipitated as CaCO₃, and the other released as CO₂. 409

It is the ongoing interpretation of this by marine inorganic chemists "as a net return 410of CO_2 to the atmosphere" that is a concern. We want to emphasize at this point that the 411 immediately following discussion does not deal with the 'carbon footprint' of bivalve 412 farming (for which see Subsection 4.4 'Life cycle assessments (LCA) of bivalve farming' below) 413 but deals specifically with the 'carbon footprint' of the formation of the shell material. 414

The proposition that shellfish offer no net removal of carbon from the atmosphere 415 starts to worry us at the lunch table, when we discard all those CaCO₃ shells that are left 416 after our meals of moules marinière (see Figure 1). Many of us enjoy shellfish foods, espe-417 cially oysters, clams, mussels, lobster and crab, and all the other seaside treats, too. So, we must be aware from our own experiences of the amount of shell left over after the meal. 419 For example, our average moules marinière for two (Figure 1), which uses 810 g fresh 420 weight of mussels and, after the meal, leaves shells with a dry weight of 296 g.



Figure 1. The main ingredient of a lunchtime moules marinière for two. Mussels fresh weight of 810 g; after the meal, shell dry weight of 296 g. Photograph by David Moore.

If we assume that this shell 'waste' is all CaCO₃, then this calculates to these two 425 plates of food permanently removing about 36 g of carbon from the atmosphere. That may 426 not be very much, but it is just two plates of food in one dining room on one occasion. Can 427 you think of any other plate of food that demonstrably removes any carbon from the at-428 mosphere, permanently? If we could arrange for every person on Earth to enjoy such a meal 429 on just one day every week, about 7.5 million metric tonnes of carbon would be removed 430 permanently from the atmosphere each year. We will think about scaling up these num-431 bers more realistically a little later. 432

For arithmetic convenience in what follows we will adopt the convention that the 433 bivalve's shell represents 50% of the fresh weight of the animal, though this is undoubt-434 edly a gross underestimate of the amount of shell in shellfish harvests. The "shell to flesh" 435 ratio is extremely variable between different cultivated bivalves. In addition, the shell, 436 which is mineralised CO₂ from the atmosphere, is the animal's protective armor, of course, 437 so a component of the variability lies in the individual animal's response to its local envi-438 ronment by managing the physical density of its shell. Waldron (2019) [50] reminds us 439 that the shell of the "... Gulf oyster Crassostrea virginica, which has evolved to repel oyster 440 drills, drumfish, oyster flatworms, raccoons, and crabs, can armor itself with 5 to 6 times 441 its body weight in shell ...". and he describes and illustrates a single individual " ... 442 healthy, mature oyster, whose flesh weighed 3 ounces [85 g], and whose shell weighed 1.1 443 lbs [499 g] ..." that appeared in a dredge sample during an oyster lease survey of bedded 444 leases in Terrebonne Parish of Louisiana, USA, in September of 2018. 445

418

421 422

423

Shellfish tonnage (comprising oysters, mussels and clams) marketed in the European 446 Union (EU) in 2019 had a live weight of 580,044 tonnes and yielded 458,700 tonnes of 447 (waste) shells [51]. This Aquaculture Advisory Council (AAC) Recommendation to the Eu-448 ropean Commission and Member States quotes the average meat percentages of harvested 449 bivalve live weight as 8.5% (oysters), 25% (mussels) and 14% (clams). Importantly, the 450 AAC report points out that in addition "to the volume of shells at consumer level, the 451 volume of farmed shell debris must be added" [51]. This shell debris is part of the harvest 452 and results from bivalve mortality during cultivation and, expressed as a percentage of 453 live weight of the harvest, is estimated as 25% for oysters, 20% for mussels and 4% for 454 clams. The total farmed shell debris harvested during 2019 being estimated as 118,230 455 tonnes. This makes the total farmed bivalve shell tonnage harvested in the EU in 2019 456 equal to 576,930 tonnes, which is further estimated to represent the sequestration of 45,124 457 tonnes of *atmospheric carbon* [51]. As the shells of dead molluscs are not digested and are 458 chemically stable, they can contribute to offshore reefs that can persist for geological pe-459 riods of time. 460

Mulling this over after lunch, we realised that there are five major scientific reasons for doubting that shell calcification is "a net return of CO₂ to the atmosphere", so we decided to audit what has been described as the Blue Carbon Account.

4.1. Two minus one cannot be a net return to atmosphere

In shallow waters, where most shellfish are cultivated, CaCO3 is essentially insoluble 465 and totally stable (limestone). Consequently, the biological calcification reaction removes 466 from any further chemistry or biochemistry one of its two initial reactant hydrogencar-467 bonate ions. As the ocean absorbs about 30% of the CO₂ released into the atmosphere [52], 468 the source of both of those hydrogencarbonate ions is atmospheric CO₂, either through 469 CO2 reacting with water to form carbonic acid (which dissociates), or from metabolism of 470 food-derived organic carbon (ALL of which on this planet is derived from photosynthetic 471 fixation of atmospheric CO₂). Hence, *reaction 1* can be expressed as 2 atmospheric carbons 472 + calcium \rightleftharpoons one precipitated carbon + one potentially atmospheric carbon. Arithmetically, 473 this cannot be claimed as a net return of CO2 to the atmosphere. Using only the stoichi-474 ometry of *reaction 1* to evaluate carbon fluxes gives a false impression and merely tells 475 part of the story [51].

4.2. The calcification reaction is reversible in oceanic waters

We must also recognise the fact that *reaction 1* is *chemically* reversible (we deal with 478 its enzymology below), the position of the equilibrium changing according to local, open 479 water oceanic, conditions. The equilibrium shown above as the scheme for *reaction* 1 re-480 fers to the chemistry of shallow waters. As water depth increases, changes in local condi-481 tions gradually change the causality to favour the reverse scheme, shown as reaction 2, 482 below. 483

Solid shells of dead calcareous plankton and other calcifiers occur in the water col-484 umn of the ocean, to depths of about 3,500 to 5,000 metres, which is the Calcite Compen-485 sation Depth (CCD) that separates calcareous from noncalcareous sediments [53]. At the 486 depth of the CCD all CaCO₃ dissolves to form hydrogencarbonate ions. High hydrostatic 487 pressure at these depths, coupled with decreasing temperature and increasing amounts 488 of dissolved CO₂ derived from the respiration of organisms living in the habitat drives the 489 equilibrium in the direction of hydrogencarbonate formation according to the following 490 equation (because gaseous CO₂, as the only compressible reactant, cannot outgas from the 491 solution): 492

 $CaCO_3 + CO_2 + H_2O \rightleftharpoons Ca^{2+} + 2HCO_3^{-}$ [reaction 2]

CO2 is taken up in this reaction but the carbonate ion (CO32-) remains intact. If the 494 seabed is above the CCD, bottom sediments consist of calcareous ooze, which accretes 495 into a type of limestone or chalk in (geological) time. If the exposed seabed is below the 496 CCD the sea floor sediment will be a layer of siliceous ooze or abyssal clay [54], because 497

462 463 464

461



11 of 22

498

499

506

507

CaCO₃ dissolves before reaching the ocean bottom, but this is a solvation, not a dissociation; *the carbonate ion remains intact*.

Note that *reaction 2* (dissolution at high hydrostatic pressure) is the exact reverse of500*reaction 1* (calcification). The gaseous atmosphere is not directly involved in either equi-501librium direction, this calcification/dissolution equilibrium being a balanced oceanic CO2502cycle that depends on water depth. In the extreme case the solubilised hydrogencarbonate503ions will be carried by the global thermohaline circulation and could take a thousand years504to surface and interact again with the atmosphere.505

4.3. Calcifying organisms use a highly conserved biomineralization toolkit to make the shell

So far, we have dealt only with the reversible calcification reaction as though the 508 chemical reactions were taking place in laboratory glassware. In the *living* cell, calcium 509 has a key role in signalling and calcium homeostasis is strictly maintained. In coccolitho-510 phores calcium is transferred in vesicles, containing calcium-loaded particles, that fuse 511 with another "coccolith vesicle" in which coccolith calcification occurs [55]. Thus, to be 512 returned to the seawater (one step prior to the atmosphere), CO₂ molecules released by 513 reaction 1 would have to be transported across at least two ion-selective phospholipid 514 membranes. Though, as we explain below, in all calcifying cells, *reaction 1* takes place on 515 the surface of an evolutionarily conserved polypeptide complex, not in free solution. 516

If this CO₂ is released from the calcification assemblage, it will dissolve in the first 517 aqueous compartment it encounters in a matter of seconds [56] becoming a hydrogencar-518 bonate ion which is a candidate for another round of calcification, and in illuminated coc-519 colithophores, would most likely be harvested for photosynthesis. Even "dissolving in a 520 matter of seconds" is too slow for metabolic processes. Waldron (2019) [50] has indicated 521 that most of these debates about CO₂/ HCO₃-/ H⁺ ignore the fact that the cascade of reac-522 tions giving rise to biogenic calcification is mediated by the enzyme carbonic anhydrase 523 [57]. This indifference occurs despite the fact that this enzyme family is figuring increas-524 ingly in civil engineering biomimetic designs using immobilized enzymes for CO₂ capture 525 for industrial carbon capture and storage (CCS) processes from flue gases, where it is 526 called microbially induced carbonate precipitation or MICP technology [58-63]. 527

In living organisms, prokaryotes and eukaryotes alike, carbonic anhydrases (CAs) are 528 so widely distributed that it is probably true to say they are universal enzymes. These 529 zinc-containing polypeptides catalyse the reversible hydration of CO2 to hydrogencar-530 bonate ('bicarbonate'). At least five distinct CA families are recognized: α , β , γ , δ and ζ . 531 These families have no significant similarity in amino acid sequence (implying their con-532 vergent evolution) and vary in distribution across different organisms. Each family usually 533 has numerous isoforms that may be differentiated for different functions in the cell, be-534 tween organelles or between tissues and organs in more complex organisms. Sequence 535 diversity of this magnitude demonstrates that *enzymic control* of the hydration of CO₂ has 536 been, and remains, of such crucial importance to life on this planet that the function has 537 been endowed with exceptionally high positive selection pressure. 538

Physiologically the CA reaction contributes widely to normal metabolism: to control 539 the acid-base balance of the cell, organelle or tissue; to metabolic respiration in aerobes (as 540 well as gas transport and gas exchange 'respiration' in the complex organisms that 'breathe'), and, in a chemically different environment, to anaerobic metabolism. CA is also 542 essential in photosynthesis (for more information, view *Science Direct* at this URL: 543 https://tinyurl.com/4h3thahn). 544

The *essential* contribution of carbonic anhydrase to calcification reactions of calcifier 545 organisms is well documented. CA plays a key role in biomineralization by the benthic 546 foraminiferan, *Amphistegina lessonii* [64] and ten α -CAs were found in the mantle tissues 547 of the Mediterranean mussel [65]. Comparative genomics of the sequence of the most 548 abundant form (named, MgNACR) grouped MgNACR with oyster nacreins, suggesting 549 to these authors that, like nacrein, the MgNACR protein "likely regulates mussel shell 550

12 of 22

566

production" (Cardoso et al 2019 [65]). Miyamoto et al (1996) [66] had already demon-551 strated that nacrein, a soluble organic matrix protein in the nacreous layer of oyster pearls, 552 contained two functional domains: a carbonic anhydrase domain which was split into two 553 subdomains with what was suggested to be a calcium-binding domain between them. 554 Seemingly, these domains participate in calcium carbonate crystal formation of the nacre-555 ous layer [66] and are components of a highly conserved biomineralization toolkit in shell-556 bearing bivalves [67] that has been described as "a complex bioceramic assembly process" 557 [68]. 558

For several reasons, therefore, but principally the wide distribution of carbonic anhydrases and the intimate connection of molecules having this enzymic activity with the structural assembly of the shell material, calcifying organisms will make no return of CO₂ to the open atmosphere from their calcifying activities, but only controlled emissions of metabolic wastes, like CO₂, to their local aqueous environment. Mussels on their rocky shore would fizz like sparkling wine if such calcification-related atmospheric emission really happened [52].

4.4. Life cycle assessments (LCA) of bivalve farming

A growing amount of detailed and comprehensive data bearing on 'shellfish for carbon sequestration' has appeared in recent years, though use of quantitative units was confusingly variable in the early years [50, 69-76]. The most recent of these studies make use of life cycle assessments (LCA) of mussel and clam farming in Mediterranean waters and conclude that the activity is a sustainable aquaculture practice as well as a carbon sink [57, 77-80].

Alonso et al. (2021) [81] estimated that the CO2 sequestration potential of bivalve aq-573 uaculture, using the then current value of 1 metric tonne of CO_2 in the carbon market, to 574 be over 25 € per tonne fresh weight of shellfish, which would represent a value of around 575 125 to 175 million \notin yr⁻¹ to the European Union's *current* bivalve aquaculture industry 576 alone. A global overall assessment of the economic value of non-food ecosystem services 577 provided by today's bivalve aquaculture [76] estimated this to be worth about \$US 6.5 578 billion per annum. This estimate did not include carbon sequestration, but the authors do 579 claim that oyster shells have an additional global potential worth of \$US 5.2 billion, as 580 they are widely seen as having great potential as a CaCO₃ feedstock [51, 82] primarily, 581 perhaps, for the cement industry in which: 582

"Between calcination and energy use, the production of one metric ton of cement 583 results in the approximate emission of 1 metric ton of CO₂ into the atmosphere ... and according to the Zurich Polytechnic, something like 900 billion metric tons of it have been cast since the beginning of the industrial revolution..." [83]. 586

Data from FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Information and Statistics Branch (as of 25 May 2019; https://www.fao.org/fishery/en/statistics/en) show that over the years 2010–2017 aquaculture harvests across the globe totalled 53,512,850 metric tonnes of crustaceans and 122,527,372 metric tonnes of molluscs (a combined total of 176,040,222 metric tonnes in 8 years. If the shells represent an average 50% of the animal's mass, total shellfish shell produced globally was 88 million tonnes over 8 years. An average of 11 million tonnes of shell per year [10].

Molluscan shell is composed of about 95%-99% CaCO₃ with very small amounts of 594 matrix proteins (responsible for directing species-specific crystal growth), whilst arthro-595 pod (crab, shrimp, lobster) exoskeletons are composed largely of chitin, but this is hard-596 ened after molting by heavy depositions of calcium-magnesium carbonate nanocrystals. 597 In either group of organisms, mature shellfish shell is about 95% crystalline calcium/cal-598 cium-magnesium carbonate. So, not much arithmetic precision is lost by assuming that 599 the shells are made entirely from CaCO₃. On a molar mass basis, carbon represents 12% 600 of the mass of calcium carbonate. So, 11 million tonnes of shell per year is equivalent to 601 1.32 million tonnes of carbon per year being captured from the atmosphere by current aq-602 uaculture activities. 603 Moore et al. (2022c) [10] point out that global carbon emissions from fossil fuel use 604 were 9.8 *billion* tonnes in 2014 (equivalent to 35.9 billion tonnes of carbon dioxide) [source: 605 <u>https://www.co2.earth/global-co2-emissions</u>], thus, the carbon captured by world aquaculture is a very small contribution to compensating these emissions. However, these authors also calculated: 608

"... we estimate that 4.84 million tonnes of CO2 per year is being captured, and min-609 eralised, from the atmosphere by current aquaculture activities around the world. In car-610 bon-offset terms, that's equivalent to one million business class return flights between 611 London Heathrow and JFK New York (6 billion miles of flying per year, every year)..." 612 and that a single shellfish farm "... designed to produce 10,000 tonnes of mussels per year 613 ... would permanently remove from the atmosphere an annual total of 1,606 metric tonnes 614 of CO2 ... [which could] offset 740 return business class tickets LHR-JFK, or offset driving 615 7,300,000 miles [in a 1.5 l petrol-engine family car]..." [10]. 616

Unfortunately, so little credence is given to the ability of shellfish calcifiers to se-617 quester atmospheric carbon that none of this offsetting is possible. Aquaculture is not 618 presently considered to be a valid carbon-offsetting scheme (for the reasons mentioned in 619 the second paragraph of our Introduction, above), and the yield of captured atmospheric 620 carbon by the world's current aquaculture industry is considered pitifully small in the 621 face of the annual emissions of CO₂ through continued fossil fuel use. But the world's 622 current aquaculture industry is devoted to food production, and its scale, and the organ-623 isms cultivated are governed by the market forces applicable to a food delicacy; the at-624 mospheric carbon the activity captures and stores in the shells is a by-product (and too-625 often treated as a food waste needing some form of disposal). 626

Suppose we change the paradigm and cultivate shellfish *for their shells*, taking the meat produced as a nutritious byproduct. Then the market forces might dictate enhancing the scale of production towards a level that removes *very significant* quantities of carbon from the atmosphere. Moore et al. (2022c) [10] put it this way:

"...a million mussel farms would permanently remove about 4.5% of the global CO2 631 emissions in each year. The call for a million mussel farms is by no means an extreme or 632 unrealistic proposition. Imagine a mussel farm on every offshore wind turbine, every oil 633 and gas rig, every pier, wharf and jetty, every breakwater or harbour wall; imagine culti-634 vating cockles (and other clams) in every shallow sandy/muddy bay. Imagine restocking 635 and extending every fished-out oyster fishery, every fished-out scallop fishery. We could 636 start tomorrow" [10 and see ref 7]. To this, we would add that colocation of a range of 637 aquaculture farming activities with wind farm installations has been demonstrated to be 638 feasible and rewarding [84-86]. 639

Another important point is that the LCAs show that as far as the aquaculture fishery 640 industry is concerned, the diesel fuel consumption of diesel powered fishing vessels and 641 the electricity consumption of onshore industrial plant (refrigeration, processing plant, 642 warehousing, road transport, etc) are the major contributors to the environmental burden 643 (the carbon cost) of cultivating calcifiers like bivalves. This is no different from any other 644 maritime industry and will be steadily reduced as fossil fuel energy is replaced by renew-645 able resources across this sector. 646

We have dispelled the notion that the calcification reaction itself is a net CO2 source 647 for the atmosphere earlier in this Section (above). But any adverse contribution of the res-648 *piratory* flux of CO₂ to promote production of the shell that might be suggested is also 649 doubtful. This environmental burden is true for all living organisms and is an inescapable 650 part of the natural carbon cycling generated by all the life processes of those organisms. 651 Designs for atmospheric amelioration must concentrate on net additions of CO₂ to the 652 atmosphere resulting from use of fossilised resources. For an LCA about the aquaculture 653 industry, although the carbon footprint of the boat's diesel fuel is undoubtedly relevant, 654 the release of CO_2 as a metabolic waste by the shellfish is no more relevant to their ability 655 to sequester carbon than the respiratory flux of CO2 of the boat's crew; or even the respir-656 atory flux of CO2 of those who write and read about it. 657

627

628

629

None of these caveats about the ability of cultivated molluscs to contribute to carbon 658 trading schemes have any parallel in discussions about including forest trees in carbon 659 trading schemes. The common mantra there is 'plant a tree to save the atmosphere/ biodi-660 versity/ world' and nobody seems to worry about the fact that trees also release respira-661 tory CO₂. Photosynthetic organisms only sequester carbon when illuminated. When the 662 light goes out, they are net CO₂ emitters. Indeed, analysis of forest carbon accounting in-663 dicates that, because of this, for more than two decades commercial forest carbon proto-664 cols have *overestimated* the carbon trading value of forest carbon by about 2¹/₂ times [87]. 665 Another negative aspect for expectations that tree planting schemes can make a serious 666 contribution to amelioration of our atmosphere is that "tree numbers have declined to 667 nearly half since the start of human civilisation and over 15 billion trees are lost on an 668 annual basis" [88]. 669

The key aspect in any comparison between mariculture and forestry, though, is that 670 photosynthetic organisms only sequester carbon whilst they remain alive; calcifier shells 671 sequester atmospheric carbon permanently. Plant a billion trees [https://www.na-672 ture.org/en-us/get-involved/how-to-help/plant-a-billion/], and even though this number 673 is only 7% of what is required to compensate for annual tree losses, and all the carbon is 674 returned to the atmosphere after the plant dies, being digested by the legions of animals, 675 bacteria and, especially, fungi that are just waiting for the chance to consume the forest's 676 biomass and convert it back to atmospheric CO2 as quickly as possible. Cultivate a billion 677 bivalves and when the animals die, they leave their shells as a legacy of solidified atmos-678 pheric carbon that can demonstrably stay sequestered for 500 million years. That's a leg-679 acy worth cultivating. 680

4.5. Middens to be proud of!

Continuing the audit analogy, an audit trail (a sequential record of the history and 682 details around an event) finds intact shellfish shells through the whole of early human 683 evolution [4, 5], and into the deeper history of planet Earth as illustrated by the global 684 reorganisations of carbonate accumulations from the Cretaceous to the Miocene (between 685 125 and 9 million years ago) [89-91]. 686

Sedimentary limestone rocks derive all their CaCO₃ from the biological activities of 687 bryozoa, corals, crinoids, microscopic algae, Foraminifera in the plankton and/or benthos 688 of the day, as well as shellfish shells. And the fossils from really deep time that can all be 689 described as calcifying shellfish include ammonites (extinct heavily calcified cephalopod 690 molluscs that lived 65 to 240 million years ago), trilobites (heavily calcified marine arthro-691 pods of 520 million years ago), brachiopods (animals with upper and lower shells hinged 692 at the rear end, while the front can be opened for feeding or closed for protection) fossils 693 of which extend to 550 million years ago, and though the majority of their 15,000 species 694 are extinct, about 300 species remain today. 695

There may well be doubts about the relevance to the current state of climate change 696 of paleo-processes that occurred many millions of years ago. Yet we maintain that the fossil record clearly illustrates that the ancestors of today's marine calcifiers possessed the physiological tools to flourish with both acidified oceans and great excesses of atmos-699 pheric CO₂. These organisms have regulated extremes of atmospheric CO₂ and ocean pH 700 earlier in Earth's history; we should empower them to provide these services again [2, 6, 701 8, 10]. 702

Comparing the potential of this blue carbon biotechnology with artificial/industrial 703 CO₂ Capture and Storage (CCS) solutions [8-10] we find that industrial CCS facilities de-704 liver, at considerable cost, nothing more than captured CO₂, for which safe, reliable, *long* 705 term storage, so far untested, of course, must be engineered at even further cost. Whereas 706 aquaculture enterprises cultivating shell to capture and store atmospheric CO2 perma-707 nently also provide nutritious food and perform many ecosystem services like water filtra-708 tion, biodeposition, denitrification, enhanced biodiversity, reef building, shoreline stabi-709 lisation and wave management. 710

681

Most cultivated bivalves are capable, in nature, of building reefs of sufficient size that 711 they provide coastal protection through their wave-calming effects [92]. Comparing ter-712 restrial natural solutions, such as afforestation, we estimate that a mussel farm sequesters 713 three times as much carbon per unit area as terrestrial ecosystems retain. However, blue 714 carbon farming does not need irrigation or fertiliser, nor does it conflict with the use of 715 scarce agricultural land [93]. Further, blue carbon farming can be combined with restora-716 tion and conservation of overfished fisheries contributing the potential social co-benefits 717 of ecosystem conservation, reclamation, and restoration, e.g., restoration of the European 718 and US oyster beds that were dredged-out in the 18th and 19th centuries, and coral reef 719 restoration with cultivation of giant clams [93, 94]. The portfolio of benefits from bivalve 720 cultivation and the ecosystem conservation value of restoring these exhausted fisheries is 721 being recognised around the world. Just three examples will suffice to show the variety 722 of approaches that can be used: in Louisiana, USA ["Louisiana Oyster Management and Re-723 habilitation Strategic Plan" download PDF from https://tinyurl.com/5azjs7ee], Scotland's 724 'Review of the contribution of cultivated bivalve shellfish to ecosystem services' [download PDF 725 from https://tinyurl.com/3umymbnt], Native Oyster Restoration Alliance [https://ti-726 nyurl.com/bep4ckzm] and the 'Maorach Beag' Scottish Shellfish Company website 727 [https://scottishshellfishcompany.com & https://tinyurl.com/38pn967z], the Chesapeake 728 Bay Program [https://tinyurl.com/4khe7cds] and the Billion Oyster Project, which is restor-729 ing oyster reefs to New York Harbor in collaboration with New York City communities 730 [website: https://www.billionoysterproject.org/]. 731

There is also a growing awareness that "seafood is climate friendly" [95] and even 732 that bivalve shells have value over and above their present day categorization as "food 733 waste" [96] but all this research and all these conservation projects maintain the established categories that *bivalve meat is food* and *bivalve shell is food waste*. 735

This conservatism is no real surprise given the long history of humanity's depend-736 ence on shellfish. Shellfish have been a critically important resource for coastal human 737 populations [97] since the genus Homo first emerged "out of Africa" [93]. Shell middens 738 made by these ancient peoples map their migrations around the world, being one of the 739 most widespread archaeological deposits in the world which inform about human adap-740 tations to coastal environments, the evolution of coastal economies, ritual practices, and 741 prehistoric architecture [98, 99]. Shell mounds are the most recurrent and conspicuous 742 evidence of prehistoric populations, and other examples are the intertidal rock-walled ter-743 races, or clam gardens, developed by Indigenous Peoples of the Northwest Coast of North 744 America. These ancient mariculture engineering features managed the resources of their 745 shoreline habitats by creating shallow sloping intertidal shelves where clam productivity 746 was enhanced [100, 101]. 747

In recorded history, exploitation of marine resources was greatly increased to support an ever-growing human population. By the end of the nineteenth century oysters had become a cheap staple food on both sides of the Atlantic. The working man could get a decent meal of oysters at any street corner for a few cents in New York or a penny or two in London. The price we all paid for this bounty was that oyster dredging around the coasts of Europe and North America destroyed at least 85% of the world's oyster beds [93, 102, 103].

The paragraphs above demonstrate the crucial contribution that shellfish have made 755 to human development in the past and there is growing appreciation of the continuing 756 role that mariculture can play in food production in the future [95]. We wish to go much 757 further and convey the potential value to humanity of a marine environment properly 758 managed as a carbon capture facility, which many recent reports suggest to us to be a 759 viable and worthwhile activity [51, 104-108]. 760

4.6. Solution for today: Cultivate shellfish on industrial scale for their shells

Shellfish shells, coccolithophore coccoliths and foram tests are all left behind in the 762 ocean when calcifying organisms die and it is only this legacy of the long-term sequestra-763 tion of CaCO₃ produced by calcifiers that will **remove net CO₂ from the atmosphere**. And 764 this is why our argument demands a change in paradigm towards cultivating calcifiers 765 for their CaCO₃. It is also why we believe that this change in paradigm would transcend 766 the current vogue for carbon accounting that integrates into the account all possible car-767 bon flows, even those that do not contribute directly to sequestration. Good commercial 768 economic practice this may be, but it ignores the basic biology of the biotechnologies it 769 seeks to analyse and has led to inflation of the carbon trading value of forest carbon [87] 770 and, in our view, critically underestimates, and undervalues, shellfish shells and the 771 CaCO₃ produce by planktonic calcifiers in permanent net carbon sequestration. Marine 772 sediments already sequester a great deal of carbon. Indeed one recent study states clearly 773 that "...a lot of carbon is already stored away in Welsh marine sediments, at least 113 774 Million tonnes (Mt) in the top 10 cm. This represents almost 170% of the carbon held in 775 Welsh forests" [107]. 776

We calculate that the paradigm shift (from 'shellfish as food' to 'shellfish for carbon 777 sequestration') makes bivalve mollusc farming and microalgal farming enterprises, via-778 ble, profitable, and sustainable, alternatives to all industrial carbon capture negative emis-779 sions technologies [109] and terrestrial biotechnologies in use or in development today. 780 Aquaculture can be scaled from supporting indigenous subsistence communities [93, 104] 781 through to industrial facilities like offshore platforms (re-purposed oil/gas-rigs) and/or 782 factory ships producing nutritious human food/animal feed in massive quantities as a by-783 product of their carbon sequestration service [110]. 784

To encourage change of the paradigm from cultivating shellfish for food to cultivat-785 ing shellfish for their shells we must make the shells a valuable resource that can be 786 traded. So, the most urgent need is to have shellfish cultivation recognized as a carbon 787 offsetting scheme for other people's carbon footprints. Carrying through the principle that 788 the polluter pays, CO₂ producers (from holiday jets to heavy industry and the fossil fuel 789 industries) could fund cultivation of shell quite legitimately as a permanent biotechnolog-790 ical removal of carbon from the atmosphere. The shellfish farmers could then take the 791 shellfish meat-protein as a profitable by-product. 792

Biotechnological research on all forms of aquaculture, including shellfish processing, 793 is very well documented [111-115] and it is important to appreciate as a fact that fears that 794 action to deal with climate change is impossibly costly are not true for the biotechnology 795 of aquaculture [116-118]. Clearly it will take time, perhaps several decades, to amplify 796 calcifier cultivation globally to levels that remove decisive quantities of CO₂ from our at-797 mosphere on an annual basis but gains from this activity are not restricted to some far off 798 uncertain future. Every tonne of live shellfish that is harvested *today* provides half a tonne 799 of nutritious meat. When the corresponding half-tonne of dead shell is returned to the 800 seabed to contribute immediately to a growing reef habitat that fosters biodiversity, shore-801 line stability and make its contribution to CaCO3 accumulation in ocean sediments [119-802 122]. In particular cases (oysters and giant clams, for example), such restoration repairs 803 the losses caused by generations of overfishing. And from the very first harvest, every 804 metric tonne of live shellfish harvested removes a quarter-tonne of CO₂ from the atmos-805 phere, permanently [7, 10]. 806

5. Conclusions

On the basis of the varied research referenced above we conclude that dire warnings 808 to the effect that acidification of the oceans can cause adverse effects on the activity of 809 marine calcifiers do not apply to *present day* oceanic calcifiers and *present day* oceanic con-810 ditions. Such warnings about acidification relate to projected future events if the IPCC 811

IS92a 'business-as-usual' scenario [21] is played out. Even then, the high levels of atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) accumulation and change in ocean pH of a magnitude likely to cause marine calcifiers to suffer are a *lifetime in the future;* being projected for 2100 AD.

We believe that the most commonly held views of the present day about ocean chem-815 istry arise from too much weight being given to carbonate chemistry in circumstances in 816 which water surfaces are in equilibrium with the open atmosphere. Alongside this, there 817 is too little consideration of **biological** carbonate chemistry that is organised to take place 818 within and between membrane-bound organelles located within membrane-bound cells. 819 Yet the latter evolved from the former and this evolutionary connection should enable 820 recognition that marine shellfish of our present day oceans do have a physiology and life-821 style that is daily, as the tides ebb and flow, experiencing and *living with* stressful condi-822 tions within their own shells. Indeed, conditions that are far more stressful than even the 823 most pessimistic of predictions do not anticipate for the wider oceanic environment for 824 more than 100 years. We hope that this view of marine calcifiers will show the value and 825 promise of the contribution that aquaculture could make to bringing equilibrium to the 826 atmosphere. 827

Whatever we humans decide to do, it remains the case that in today's oceans there is, and there will continue to be, a constant rain of the solid CaCO₃ shells of dead calcareous plankton and other calcifiers sedimenting through the water column of the ocean to contribute to the calcareous ooze of the sea floor. Which, in due time will create the next layer of fossiliferous limestone. If we make the right decisions *now*, there may be humans around in ten-thousand years to admire our actions. This outcome is much less certain if we continue to hesitate. 834

Author Contributions: D.M. was responsible for writing—original draft preparation, review and editing. Other authors contributed equally according to their topic specialties. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding, the authors funded it entirely from their own839pockets. Any philanthropist who would like to contribute to the implementation of these idea840should contact the corresponding author at david@davidmoore.org.uk.841

Data Availability Statement: All of the research data used in preparation of this manuscript is pub-842lished and can be accessed from the reference citations listed below. All of which are furnished with843DOI or URL hyperlinks.844

Acknowledgments: We acknowledge with sincere thanks numerous discussions with Dr Rebecca845J. Moore during the preparation of this manuscript. Despite the many improvements resulting from846these discussions, the opinions expressed here are those of the listed authors and are not necessarily847shared by their advisors.848

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Heilweck, M.; Moore, D. Saving the planet with appropriate biotechnology: 3. The high seas solution/Salvando el planeta con biotecnología apropiada: 3. La solución de alta mar. *Mex J Biotechnol* 2021, 6, 92–128. DOI: https://doi.org/10.29267/mxjb.2021.6.1.92.
- 2. Moore, D. A biotechnological expansion of shellfish cultivation could permanently remove carbon dioxide from the atmosphere/Una ampliación biotecnológica del cultivo de moluscos bivalvos podría eliminar permanentemente el dióxido de carbono de la atmósfera. *Mex J Biotechnol* **2020**, *5*, 1-10. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.29267/mxjb.2020.5.1.1</u>.
- Moore, D. Saving the planet with appropriate biotechnology: 4. Coccolithophore cultivation and deployment/Salvando el planeta con biotecnología apropiada: 4. Cultivo de cocolitóforos e implementación. *Mex J Biotechnol* 2021, 6,129-155. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.29267/mxjb.2021.6.1.129</u>.
- Moore, D.; Heilweck, M.; Petros, P. Saving the planet with appropriate biotechnology: 1. Diagnosing the Problems/Salvando el planeta con biotecnología apropiada: 1. Diagnóstico de los problemas. *Mex J Biotechnol* 2021a, 6, 1–30. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.29267/mxjb.2021.6.1.1</u>.
- Moore, D.; Heilweck, M.; Petros, P. Saving the planet with appropriate biotechnology: 2. Cultivate Shellfish to Remediate the Atmosphere/Salvando el planeta con biotecnología apropiada: 2. Cultivar mariscos para remediar la atmósfera. *Mex J Biotechnol* 2021b, 6, 31–91. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.29267/mxjb.2021.6.1.31</u>.

835 836

837

838

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

- Moore, D.; Heilweck, M.; Petros, P. Aquaculture: Ocean Blue Carbon Meets UN-SDGS. A volume in the Sustainable Development Goals Series. Springer Nature, Switzerland, 2022a. ISBN: 9783030948450. 268 pp. URL: https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-3-030-94846-7.
- Moore, D.; Heilweck, M.; Petros, P. What Should Be Done. In *Aquaculture: Ocean Blue Carbon Meets UN-SDGS*; Moore, D., Heilweck, M., Petros, P., Eds; Springer Nature, Switzerland, 2022b; pp. 217-242. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-94846-7_8</u>.
 870
- Petros, P.; Heilweck, M.; Moore, D. Saving the planet with appropriate biotechnology: 5. An action plan/Salvando el planeta con biotecnología apropiada: 5. Un plan de acción. *Mex J Biotechnol* 2021, *6*, 1-60. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.29267/mxjb.2021.6.2.1</u>.
 872
- Petros, P.; Moore, D. Comparing Industrial and Biotechnological Solutions for Carbon Capture and Storage. In *Aquaculture:* 873 Ocean Blue Carbon Meets UN-SDGS; Moore, D., Heilweck, M., Petros, P., Eds; Springer Nature, Switzerland, 2022; pp. 177-216. 874 DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-94846-7_7</u>.
- Moore, D.; Heilweck, M.; Petros, P. Cultivate Shellfish to Remediate the Atmosphere. In *Aquaculture: Ocean Blue Carbon Meets* 876 UN-SDGS; Moore, D., Heilweck, M., Petros, P., Eds; Springer Nature, Switzerland, 2022c; pp. 35-63. DOI: 877 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-94846-7 2</u>.
- Fischhoff, B. Hot air: the psychology of CO₂-induced climatic change. In: *Cognition, Social Behavior and the Environment*; Harvey, J.H. Ed; Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Inc, NJ, USA, **1981**; 608 pp. Book URL: <u>https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=Z6-3AAAAI-AAI</u>. Chapter URL: <u>https://tinyurl.com/23vfwf2c</u>.
- 12. Marshall, G. Don't Even Think About It: Why Our Brains Are Wired to Ignore Climate Change. Bloomsbury Publishing Plc, New York, **2015**; 272 pp. ISBN: 9781632861023.
- 13. Stoknes, P.E. What We Think about When We Try Not to Think about Global Warming: Toward a New Psychology of Climate Action. Chelsea Green Publishing Co, White River Junction, VT, USA, **2015**; 320 pp. ISBN-13: 9781603585835.
- 14. Emerson, S.; Hedges, J. *Chemical Oceanography and the Marine Carbon Cycle*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK, **2012**; online ISBN: 9780511793202. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511793202</u>.
- 15. Gattuso, J.-P.; Frankignoulle, M.; Smith, S.V. Measurement of community metabolism and significance of coral reefs in the CO₂ source-sink debate. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, **1999**, *96*, 13017-13022. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.23.13017</u>.
- Kawahata, H.; Fujita, K.; Iguchi, A.; Inoue, M.; Iwasaki, S.; et al. Perspective on the response of marine calcifiers to global warming and ocean acidification behavior of corals and foraminifera in a high CO₂ world "hot house". *Progr Earth and Planet Sci*, 2019, *6*, article 5 (37 pp). DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-018-0239-9</u>.
- 17. Ohno, Y,; Iguchi, A.; Shinzato, C.; Inoue, M.; Suzuki, A.; Sakai, K.; Nakamura, T. An aposymbiotic primary coral polyp counteracts acidification by active pH regulation. *Sci Rep*, **2017**, *7*, article number 40324. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/srep40324</u>.
- 18. McCulloch, M.; Falter, J.; Trotter, J.; Montagna, P. Coral resilience to ocean acidification and global warming through pH upregulation. *Nat Clim Change*, **2012**, *2*, 623–627. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1473</u>.
- 19. Tanhua, T.; Orr, J. C.; Lorenzoni, L.; Hansson, L. Monitoring ocean carbon and ocean acidification. *WMO Bulletin*, **2015**, *64*, 48-51. URL: <u>https://library.wmo.int/doc_num.php?explnum_id=3985</u>.
- 20. Fassbender, A.J.; Orr, J.C.; Dickson, A.G. Technical note: Interpreting pH changes. *Biogeosciences*, **2021**, *18*, 1407-1415. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-1407-2021.
- IPCC (2000). Special Report on Emissions Scenarios. A Report of Working Group III of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 901 Nakicenovic, N., Alcamo, J, Davis, G., de Vries, B., Fenhann, J. et al., Eds. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and 902 New York, USA: ISBN: 0521804930. URL: <u>https://tinyurl.com/4ve6urp</u>.
- 22. Brewer, P. G. Ocean chemistry of the fossil fuel signal: The haline signal of "business as usual". *Geophys Res Lett*, **1997**, 24, 1367-1369. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/97GL01179</u>.
- 23. Orr, J. C.; Fabry, V.J.; Aumont, O.; Bopp, L.; Doney, S. C. et al. Anthropogenic ocean acidification over the twenty-first century and its impact on calcifying organisms. *Nature*, **2005**, *437*, 681-686. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04095</u>.
- 24. Newell, B. R.; Pitman, A. J. The psychology of global warming: improving the fit between the science and the message. *Bull Am Meteorol Soc*, **2010**, *91*, 1003-1014. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/2010BAMS2957.1</u>.
- Raven, J.; Caldeira, K.; Elderfield, H.; Hoegh-Guldberg, O.; Liss, P.; Riebesell, U.; Shepherd, J.; Turley, C.; Watson, A. Ocean acidification due to increasing atmospheric carbon dioxide. Royal Soc Policy doc 12/05, 2005, The Royal Society, London. ISBN: 0854036172. URL: <u>https://tinyurl.com/epencx63</u>.
- 26. Cohen, S. *States of Denial: Knowing about Atrocities and Suffering*. Polity Press (an imprint of John Wiley and Sons), New York, USA, **2001**. 360 pp. ISBN: 9780745623924.
- 27. Wilson, M.; Daly, M.; Gordon, S. The evolved psychological apparatus of human decision-making is one source of environmental problems. In *Evolutionary Perspectives on Environmental Problems*, Penn, D. J., Mysterud, I., Wilson, E.O., Eds, Routledge, New York, USA, **2007**, 364 pp. eBook ISBN: 9780203792650. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203792650</u>.
- 28. Diamond, J. M. Collapse: How Societies Choose to Fail or Survive. Penguin Books, London, 2011. 590 pp. ISBN: 9780241958681. 918
- Westbroek, P.; Young, J. R.; Linschooten, K. Coccolith production (biomineralization) in the marine alga *Emiliania huxleyi*. J 919 Protozool, 1989, 36, 368-373. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1550-7408.1989.tb05528.x</u>.
- Moheimani, N. R.; Webb, J. P.; Borowitzka, M. A. Bioremediation and other potential applications of coccolithophorid algae: a review. *Algal Res*, 2012, *1*, 120-133. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2012.06.002</u>.
- Moore, D. Coccolithophore Cultivation and Deployment. In *Aquaculture: Ocean Blue Carbon Meets UN-SDGS*; Moore, D., Heilweck, M., Petros, P., Eds; Springer Nature, Switzerland, **2022a**; pp. 155-176. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-94846-7_6</u>.

867

868

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

893

894

895

896

897

898

899

900

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

- Iglesias-Rodriguez, M. D.; Halloran, P. R.; Rickaby, R. E. M.; Hall, I. R.; Colmenero-Hidalgo, E.; Gittins, J. R.; Green, D. R. H.;
 Tyrrell, T.; Gibbs, S. J.; von Dassow, P.; Rehm, E.; Armbrust, E. V.; Boessenkool, K. P. Phytoplankton calcification in a high-CO₂
 world. *Science*, 2008, 320, 336-340. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1154122</u>.
- 33. Rigual-Hernández, A, S.; Trull, T. W.; Flores, J. A.; Nodder, S. D.; Eriksen, R. et al. Full annual monitoring of Subantarctic *Emiliania huxleyi* populations reveals highly calcified morphotypes in high-CO₂ winter conditions. *Sci Rep*, **2020**, *10*, article number 2594. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-59375-8</u>.
- 34. Doney, S. C.; Fabry, V. J.; Feely, R. A.; Kleypas, J. A. Ocean acidification: the other CO₂ problem. *Ann Rev Mar Sci*, **2009**, *1*, 169-192. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163834</u>.
- 35. Nithiyaa, N.; Ho, A. X.; Norhanis, M. R.; Zulfigar, Y.; Tan, S. H. A. The effect of acidified seawater on shell characteristics of blood cockle, *Tegillarca granosa*. J Surv Fish Sci, **2021**, 7, 133-142. URL: <u>http://sifisheriessciences.com/article-1-206-en.html</u>.
- Fitzer, S. C.; Chung, P.; Maccherozzi, F.; Dhesi, S. S.; Kamenos, N. A.; Phoenix, V. R.; Cusack, M. Biomineral shell formation 935 under ocean acidification: a shift from order to chaos. *Sci Rep*, 2016, 6, article number 21076. DOI: 936 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/srep21076</u>.
- Knights, A. M.; Norton, M. J.; Lemasson, A. J.; Stephen, N. Ocean acidification mitigates the negative effects of increased sea temperatures on the biomineralization and crystalline ultrastructure of *Mytilus*. *Front Mar Sci*, 2020, 7, article 567228. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.567228</u>.
- Bach, L. T.; Mackinder, L. C. M.; Schulz, K. G.; Wheeler, G.; Schroeder, D. C.; Brownlee, C.; Riebesell, U. Dissecting the impact of CO₂ and pH on the mechanisms of photosynthesis and calcification in the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi*. *New Phytol*, 2013, 199, 121-134. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12225</u>.
- Kroeker, K. J.; Kordas, R. L.; Crim, R.; Hendriks, I. E.; Ramajo, L.; Singh, G. S.; Duarte, C. M.; Gattuso, J. P. Impacts of ocean acidification on marine organisms: quantifying sensitivities and interaction with warming. *Glob Chang Biol*, 2013, 19: 884-1896.
 DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12179</u>.
- 40. Seed, R. Factors influencing shell shape in the mussel *Mytilus edulis*. J Mar Biolog Assoc, 1968, 48, 561-584. DOI: 947 <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315400019159</u>.
 948
- 41. Seed, R. Shell growth and form in the Bivalvia. In *Skeletal Growth of Aquatic Organisms: Biological Records of Environmental Change*, Rhoads, D. C. Ed, pp. 23-68. Springer Science+Business Media, New York, **1980**, ISBN 9780306402593.
- 42. Gimin, R.; Mohan, R.; Thinh, L. V.; Griffiths, A. D. The relationship of shell dimensions and shell volume to live weight and soft tissue weight in the mangrove clam, *Polymesoda erosa* (Solander, 1786) from northern Australia. *NAGA, WorldFish Center Quarecterly*, 27, Nos. 3 and 4, Jul-Dec 2004. URL: <u>http://www.worldfishcenter.org/Naga/na_2318.pdf</u>.
 953
- 43. Byrne, H. M.; Green, J. A. M.; Balbus, S. A.; Ahlberg, P. E. Tides: A key environmental driver of osteichthyan evolution and the fish-tetrapod transition? *Proc Roy Soc A*, **2020**, *476* article number 20200355. DOI: <u>http://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2020.0355</u>.
- Connell, S. D.; Doubleday, Z. A.; Hamlyn, S. B.; Foster, N. R.; Harley, C. D. G.; Helmuth, B.; Kelaher, B. P.; Nagelkerken, I.; Sarà, G.; Russell, B. D. How Ocean acidification can benefit calcifiers. *Curr Biol*, 2017, 27, R95-R96. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2016.12.004</u>.
- Duarte, C. M.; Agusti, S.; Barbier, E.; Britten, G. L.; Castilla, J. C.; Gattuso, J. P.; Fulweiler, R. W.; Hughes, T. P.; Knowlton, N.;
 Lovelock, C. E.; Lotze, H. K.; Predragovic, M.; Poloczanska, E.; Roberts, C.; Worm, B. Rebuilding marine life. *Nature*, 2020, 580,
 39-51. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2146-7</u>. [A (slight) correction to this paper has been published with this DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2146-7. [A (slight) correction to this paper has been published with this DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2146-7. [A (slight) correction to this paper has been published with this DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03271-2].
- 46. Gordon, T. A. C.; Radford, A. N.; Simpson, S. D.; Meekan, M. G. Marine restoration projects are undervalued. *Science*, **2020**, *367*, 635-636. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba9141</u>.
- 47. Mackinder, L.; Wheeler, G.; Schroeder, D.; Riebesell, U.; Brownlee, C. Molecular mechanisms underlying calcification in coccolithophores. *Geomicrobiol J*, **2010**, *27*, 585–595. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/01490451003703014</u>.
- 48. Mejia, R. Will ion channels help coccolithophores adapt to ocean acidification? *PLoS Biol*, **2011**, *9*, article e1001087. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001087</u>.
- Monteiro, F. M.; Bach, L. T.; Brownlee, C.; Bown, P.; Rickaby, R. E. M.; Poulton, A. J.; Tyrrell, T.; Beaufort, L.; Dutkiewicz, S.;
 Gibbs, S.; Gutowska, M. A.; Lee, R.; Riebesell, U.; Young, J.; Ridgwell, A. Why marine phytoplankton calcify. *Sci Adv*, 2016, 2,
 article e1501822. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1501822</u>.
- 50. Waldron, R. P. Jr. Is *Crassostrea virginica* gulf oyster reef a sustainable resource subject to equivalent carbon credit trading on the world CAP and trade market? *Natl Shellfisheries Assoc*, **2019**, Download PDF from this URL: <u>https://www.aca-demia.edu/40021431/</u> OR <u>https://tinyurl.com/3yt9x2hk</u>.
- 51. AAC. *Recommendation on Carbon Sequestration by Molluscs*. A Recommendation to the European Commission and the Member States, published by the Aquaculture Advisory Council, **2022**. URL for PDF download: <u>https://tinyurl.com/57uzu5ks</u>.
- Moore, D.; Heilweck, M.; Petros, P. Diagnosing the Problem. In *Aquaculture: Ocean Blue Carbon Meets UN-SDGS*; Moore, D., Heilweck, M., Petros, P., Eds; Springer Nature, Switzerland, 2022d, pp. 1-34. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-94846-7_1</u>. 978
- 53. Bickert, T. Carbonate compensation depth. In *Encyclopedia of Paleoclimatology and Ancient Environments*, Gornitz, V. Ed, *Encyclopedia of Earth Sciences* series. Springer, Dordrecht, **2009**. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-4411-3_33</u>.
- Berger, W. H. Calcite compensation depth (CCD). In *Encyclopedia of Marine Geosciences*. Harff, J., Meschede, M., Petersen, S., Thiede, J. Eds, *Encyclopedia of Earth Sciences* series. Springer, Dordrecht, 2016. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-6238-1_47</u>.

929

930

931

932

933

934

938

939

940

941

942

943

949

950

954

955

956

957

958

963

964

965

966

967

968

972

973

974

975

976

979

- Gal, A.; Sorrentino, A.; Kahil, K.; Pereiro, E.; Faivre, D.; Scheffel, A. Native-state imaging of calcifying and noncalcifying micro-55. 983 algae reveals similarities in their calcium storage organelles. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 2018, 115, 11000-11005. DOI: 984 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1804139115. 985
- Mitchell, M. J.; Jensen, O. E.; Cliffe, K.; Maroto-Valer, M. M. A model of carbon dioxide dissolution and mineral carbonation 56. kinetics. Proc Math Phys Eng, 2010, 466, 1265-1290. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2009.0349.
- 57. Tamburini, E.; Turolla, E.; Lanzoni, M.; Castaldelli, G. Manila Clam and Mediterranean Mussel aquaculture is sustainable and a net carbon sink. Preprint available at SSRN (Social Science Research Network, an Elsevier imprint), 2022, at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4022378. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4022378.
- 58. Lee, S.-W.; Park, S.-B.; Jeong, S.-K.; Lim, K.-S.; Lee, S.-H.; Trachtenberg, M. C. On carbon dioxide storage based on biomineralization strategies. Micron, 2010, 41, 273-282. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micron.2009.11.012.
- 59. Ferry, J. G. Carbonic anhydrases of anaerobic microbes. Bioorg Med Chem, 2013, 21, 1392-1395. DOI: 993 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2012.12.005. 994
- Penders-van Elk, N. J. M. C.; Versteeg, G. F. Enzyme-enhanced CO2 absorption. In Absorption-Based Post-combustion Capture of 60. Carbon Dioxide, Feron, P. H. M., Ed. Woodhead Publishing, an imprint of Elsevier B.V., 2016, pp. 225-258. ISBN: 9780081005149. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-100514-9.00010-X.
- 61 Reddy, M. S.; Joshi, S. Carbon dioxide sequestration on biocement-based composites. In Carbon Dioxide Sequestration in Cementitious Construction Materials, Pacheco-Torgal, F.; Shi, C.; Sanchez, A. P. Eds. Part of the Woodhead Publishing Series in Civil and Structural Engineering. Woodhead Publishing, an imprint of Elsevier B.V., 2018, pp. 225-243. ISBN: 9780081024447. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-102444-7.00010-1.
- 62. Kelemen, P.; Benson, S. M.; Pilorgé, H.; Psarras, P.; Wilcox, J. An overview of the status and challenges of CO2 storage in minerals and geological formations. Front Clim, 2019, 1, article 00009. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2019.00009.
- Hills, C. D.; Tripathi, N.; Carey, P. J. Mineralization technology for carbon capture, utilization, and storage. Front Energy Res, 63. 2020, 8, article 0042. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2020.00142.
- Goeyse, S.; Webb, A. E.; Reichart, G.-J.; Nooijer, L. J. Carbonic anhydrase is involved in calcification by the benthic foraminifer 64. Amphistegina lessonii. Biogeosciences, **2021**, 18, 393-401. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-393-2021</u>.
- Cardoso, J. C. R.; Ferreira, V.; Zhang, X.; Anjos, L.; Félix, R. C.; Batista, F. M.; Power, D. M. Evolution and diversity of alpha-65. carbonic anhydrases in the mantle of the Mediterranean mussel (Mytilus galloprovincialis). Sci Rep, 2019, 9, article number 10400. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-46913-2.
- Miyamoto, H.; Miyashita, T.; Okushima, M.; Nakano, S.; Morita, T.; Matsushiro, A. A carbonic anhydrase from the nacreous 66. layer in oyster pearls. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 1996, 93, 9657-9660. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.18.9657.
- Marie, B.; Arivalagan, J.; Mathéron, L.; Bolbach, G.; Berland, S.; Marie, A.; Marin, F. Deep conservation of bivalve nacre proteins 67. highlighted by shell matrix proteomics of the Unionoida Elliptio complanata and Villosa lienosa. J R Soc Interface, 2017, 14, article number 20160846. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2016.0846.
- 68. Evans, J. S. The biomineralization proteome: protein complexity for a complex bioceramic assembly process. Proteomics, 2019, 19, article number 1900036. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.201900036.
- Pelletier, N. L.; Ayer, N. W.; Tyedmers, P. H.; Kruse, S. A.; Flysjo, A.; Robillard, G.; Ziegler, F.; Scholz, A. J.; Sonesson, U. Impact 69. categories for life cycle assessment research of seafood production systems: Review and prospectus. Int J Life Cycle Assess, 2007, 1019 12, 414-421. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1065/lca2006.09.275. 1020
- Iribarren, D.; Moreira, M. T.; Feijoo, G. Life Cycle Assessment of fresh and canned mussel processing and consumption in 70. Galicia (NW Spain). Resour Conserv Recycl, 2010, 55, 106-117. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2010.08.001.
- Lejart, M.; Clavier, J.; Chauvaud, L.; Hily, C. Respiration and calcification of Crassostrea gigas: contribution of an intertidal inva-71. 1023 sive species to coastal ecosystem CO₂ fluxes. Estuaries Coasts, 2012, 35, 622-632. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-011-9462-v. 1024
- 72. Waldbusser, G. G.; Powell, E. N.; Mann, R. Ecosystem effects of shell aggregations and cycling in coastal waters: an example of Chesapeake Bay oyster reefs. Ecology, 2013, 94, 895-903. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1890/12-1179.1.
- Filgueira, R.; Byron, C.; Comeau, L.; Costa-Pierce, B.; Cranford, P.; Ferreira, J.; Grant, J.; Guyondet, T.; Jansen, H.; Landry, T.; 73. 1027 McKindsey, C.; Petersen, J.; Reid, G.; Robinson, S.; Smaal, A.; Sonier, R.; Strand, Ø.; Strohmeier, T. An integrated ecosystem 1028 approach for assessing the potential role of cultivated bivalve shells as part of the carbon trading system. Mar Ecol Prog Ser, 1029 2015, 518, 281-287. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3354/meps11048. 1030
- 74. Filgueira, R.; Strohmeier, T.; Strand, Ø. Regulating services of bivalve molluscs in the context of the carbon cycle and implica-1031 tions for ecosystem valuation. In Goods and Services of Marine Bivalves, Smaal, A., Ferreira, J., Grant, J., Petersen, J., Strand, Ø., 1032 Eds. Springer Nature, Cham, Switzerland, 2019, pp 231–251. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-96776-9_12</u>. Open access 1033 download: https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007%2F978-3-319-96776-9 12.pdf. 1034
- Jansen, H.; van den Bogaart, L. Blue carbon by marine bivalves: perspective of carbon sequestration by cultured and wild bi-75. valve stocks in the Dutch coastal areas. Wageningen Marine Research report, No. C116/20, Wageningen Marine Research, 2020. 1036 DOI: https://doi.org/10.18174/537188. Download PDF from: https://edepot.wur.nl/537188. 1037
- van der Schatte Olivier, A.; Jones, L.; Vay, L. L.; Christie, M.; Wilson, J.; Malham, S. K. A global review of the ecosystem services 76. provided by bivalve aquaculture. Rev Aquac, 2020, 12, 3-25. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12301.
- Martini, A.; Calì, M.; Capoccioni, F.; Martinoli, M.; Pulcini, D.; Buttazzoni, L.; Moranduzzo, T.; Pirlo, G. Environmental perfor-1040 77. mance and shell formation-related carbon flows for mussel farming systems. Sci Total Environ, 2022, 831, 154891. DOI: 1041 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.154891. 1042

987

988

989

990

991

992

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1021

1022

1025

1026

1035

1038

- Tamburini, E.; Fano, E. A.; Castaldelli, G.; Turolla, E. Life Cycle Assessment of oyster farming in the Po Delta, Northern Italy. 78. 1043 Resources, 2019, 8, article number 170. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/resources8040170. 1044
- Tamburini, E.; Turolla, E.; Fano, E. A.; Castaldelli, G. Sustainability of Mussel (Mytilus galloprovincialis) farming in the Po River 1045 79 Delta, Northern Italy, based on a life cycle assessment approach. Sustainability, 2020, 12, article number 3814. DOI: 1046 https://doi.org/10.3390/su12093814. 1047
- 80. Turolla, E.; Castaldelli, G.; Fano, E. A.; Tamburini, E. Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) proves that Manila Clam farming (Ruditapes philippinarum) is a fully sustainable aquaculture practice and a carbon sink. Sustainability, 2020, 12, article number 5252. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/su12135252.
- 81. Alonso, A. A.; Álvarez-Salgado, X. A.; Antelo, L. T. Assessing the impact of bivalve aquaculture on the carbon circular economy. 1051 J Clean Prod, 2021, 279, article 123873. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123873. 1052
- 82. de Alvarenga, R. A. F.; Galindro, B. M.; Helpa, C. de F.; Soares, S. R. The recycling of oyster shells: An environmental analysis 1053 using Life Cycle Assessment. J Environ Manage, 2012, 106, 102-109. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2012.04.017. 1054
- Di Stefano, D. Cement: the Most Destructive Material in the World or a Driver of Progress? Posting on the GreenBiz Group Inc. 1055 83. website (2022) at this URL: https://tinyurl.com/2sftbwu6. 1056
- Blanchard, S. Colocation of aquaculture and wind farm in Liverpool Bay: Effective incorporation of finfish aquaculture to the 84. Gwynt y Mor wind farm. LAP Lambert Academic Publishing, 2020. ISBN: 9786202528344. 112 pp. URL: https://tinyurl.com/2msjf8ff.
- 85. Maar, M.; Bolding, K.; Petersen, J. K.; Hansen, J. L. S.; Timmermann, K. Local effects of blue mussels around turbine foundations in an ecosystem model of Nysted off-shore wind farm, Denmark. J Sea Res, 2009, 62, 159-174. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2009.01.008.
- 86 Stenberg, C.; Christoffersen, M.; Mariani, P.; Krog, C.; Dolmer, P.; Maar, M.; Tørring, D. Offshore wind farms and their potential for shellfish aquaculture and restocking. National Institute of Aquatic Resources, ICES Council Meeting Nantes, France, 2010, one page poster. URL: https://www.ices.dk/sites/pub/CM%20Doccuments/CM-2010/O/O1210.pdf.
- 87 Marino, B. D. V.; Bautista, N. Commercial forest carbon protocol over-credit bias delimited by zero-threshold carbon accounting. Trees, Forests and People, 2022, 7, article number 100171. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tfp.2021.100171.
- 88. Crowther, T. W.; Glick, H. B.; Covey, K. R.; Bettigole, C.; Maynard, D.S.; and 33 others. Mapping tree density at a global scale. Nature, 2015, 525, 201- 205. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14967.
- van Andel, T. H.; Heath, G. R.; Moore, T. C. Jr. Cenozoic history and paleoceanography of the central equatorial Pacific Ocean. 89. 1070 Mem. Geol. Soc. Am, 1975, 143, 1-134. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1130/MEM143-p1. 1071
- van Andel, T. H.; Thiede, J.; Sclater, J. G.; Hay, W. W. Depositional history of the South Atlantic Ocean during the last 125 90. 1072 million years. J Geol, 1977, 85, 651-698. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/628357. 1073
- 91. Preiß-Daimler, I.; Zarkogiannis, S. D.; Kontakiotis, G.; Henrich, R.; Antonarakou, A. Paleoceanographic perturbations and the 1074 marine carbonate system during the middle to late Miocene carbonate crash – a critical review. Geosciences, 2021, 11, article 94. 1075 DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences11020094. 1076
- 92. Ysebaert, T.; Walles, B.; Haner, J.; Hancock, B. Habitat modification and coastal protection by ecosystem-engineering reef-building bivalves. In Goods and services of marine bivalves, Smaal, A., Ferreira, J., Grant, J., Petersen, J., Strand, Ø., Eds. Springer Nature, Cham, Switzerland, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-96776-9 13.
- Moore, D.; Heilweck, M. Aquaculture: Prehistoric to Traditional to Modern. In Aquaculture: Ocean Blue Carbon Meets UN-SDGS; 93. Moore, D., Heilweck, M., Petros, P., Eds; Springer Nature, Switzerland, 2022, pp. 65-95. ISBN: 9783030948450. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-94846-7 3.
- 94. Moore, D. Farming Giant Clams in 2021: A Great Future for the 'Blue Economy' of Tropical Islands. In Aquaculture: Ocean Blue 1083 Carbon Meets UN-SDGS; Moore, D., Heilweck, M., Petros, P., Eds; Springer Nature, Switzerland, 2022b, pp. 131-153. ISBN: 1084 9783030948450. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-94846-7_5.
- 95. Jones, A. R.; Alleway, H. K.; McAfee, D.; Reis-Santos, P.; Theuerkauf, S. J.; Jones, R. C. Climate-friendly seafood: the potential 1086 for emissions reduction and carbon capture in marine aquaculture. BioScience, 2022, 72, 123-143. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/bi-1087 osci/biab126. 1088
- Summa D, Lanzoni, M, Castaldelli G, Fano EA, Tamburini E (2022) Trends and opportunities of bivalve shells waste valorization 96. 1089 in a prospect of Circular Blue Bioeconomy. Resources 11: DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/resources11050048. 1090
- Yesner, D. R. et al. Maritime hunter-gatherers: ecology and prehistory [and comments and reply]. Curr Anthropol, 1980, 21, 727-97. 1091 750. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/202568. 1092
- Villagran, X. S. The shell midden conundrum: comparative micromorphology of shell-matrix sites from South America. J Ar-98. chaeol Method Theory, 2019, 26, 344-395. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10816-018-9374-2</u>.
- 99 Villagran, X. S.; Klokler, D.; Peixoto, S.; DeBlasis, P.; Giannini, P. C. F. Building coastal landscapes: zooarchaeology and geoarchaeology of Brazilian shell mounds. J Isl Coast Archaeol, 2011, 6, 211-234. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/15564894.2011.586087.
- 100. Smith, N. F.; Lepofsky, D.; Toniello, G.; Holmes, K.; Wilson, L.; Neudorf, C. M.; Roberts, C. 3500 years of shellfish mariculture on the Northwest Coast of North America. PLOS ONE, 2019, 14, article e0211194. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0211194. 1099
- 101. Lepofsky, D.; Toniello, G.; Earnshaw, J.; Roberts, C.; Wilson, L.; Rowell, K.; Holmes, K. Ancient anthropogenic clam gardens of the Northwest Coast expand clam habitat. Ecosystems, 2021, 24, 248-260. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-020-00515-6. 1101

1049

1050

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1077

1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1085

1093

102.	Zarrelli, N. The Voluminous Shell Heaps Hidden in Plain Sight All Over NYC. Posting on the Atlas Obscura website [https://www.at-	1102
	lasobscura.com], 2016. Page URL: <u>https://tinyurl.com/bddtwh9n</u> .	1103
	Kurlansky, M. The Big Oyster: History on the Half Shell. Ballantine Books, 2006, 307 pp. ISBN: 9780345476388.	1104
104.	Mitra, S.; Fazli, P.; Jana, H.; Mitra, A.; Mitra, A.; Pramanick, P.; Pal, N.; Zaman, S. Molluscan community: a potential sink of	1105
	carbon. Journal of Energy Environment and Carbon Credits, 2015, 5(3): 13-17. URL: https://tinyurl.com/yc5uty6b.	1106
105.	Hickey, J. P. Carbon sequestration potential of shellfish. In Seminars in Sustainability, School of Natural and Built Environs, Univer-	1107
	sity of South Australia. Published on and by The Fish Site, 25 October 2004. URL: <u>https://thefishsite.com/articles/carbon-seques-</u>	1108
	tration-potential-of-shellfish.	1109
106.	Lockwood, R.; Mann, R. A conservation palaeobiological perspective on Chesapeake Bay oysters. <i>Phil Trans R Soc B</i> , 2019 , 374:	1110
	article number 20190209. DOI: <u>http://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0209</u> .	1111
107.	Armstrong, S.; Hull, S.; Pearson, Z.; Wilson, R.; Kay, S. Estimating the Carbon Sink Potential of the Welsh Marine Environment.	1112
	Report No 428, published by Natural Resources Wales, Cardiff, 2020, 74pp. URL: https://cdn.naturalresources.wales/me-	1113
	dia/692035/nrw-evidence-report-428 blue-carbon v11-002.pdf.	1114
108.	Macreadie, P. I.; Robertson, A. I.; Spinks, B.; Adams, M. P.; Atchison, J. M. et al. Operationalizing marketable blue carbon. One	1115
	<i>Earth</i> , 2022 , <i>5</i> , 485-492. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2022.04.005</u> .	1116
109.	Campbell, J. S.; Foteinis, S.; Furey, V.; Hawrot, O.; Pike, D.; Aeschlimann, S.; Maesano, C. N.; Reginato, P. L.; Goodwin, D. R.;	1117
	Looger, L. L.; Boyden, E. S.; Renforth, P. Geochemical negative emissions technologies: Part I. Review. Front Clim, 2022, 4,	1118
	879133. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2022.879133</u> .	1119
110.	Heilweck, M. The High Seas Solution. In Aquaculture: Ocean Blue Carbon Meets UN-SDGS; Moore, D., Heilweck, M., Petros, P.,	1120
	Eds; Springer Nature, Switzerland, 2022 , pp. 97-130. ISBN: 9783030948450. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-94846-7_4</u> .	1121
111.	Gökoğlu, N. Shellfish Processing and Preservation. Springer Nature, Switzerland, 2021. ISBN: 9783030603021. 334 pp. URL:	1122
	https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-3-030-60303-8.	1123
112.	Goddek, S.; Joyce, A.; Kotzen, B.; Burnell, G.M. Aquaponics Food Production Systems: Combined Aquaculture and Hydroponic Pro-	1124
	duction Technologies for the Future. Springer Nature, Switzerland, 2019. ISBN: 9783030159429. URL:	1125
	https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-3-030-15943-6.	1126
113.	Lucas, J.S.; Southgate, PC.; Tucker, C.S. (eds). Aquaculture: Farming Aquatic Animals and Plants. Wiley-Blackwell; 3rd edition,	1127
444	2019 . ISBN: 9781119230861. 666 pp. URL: <u>https://tinyurl.com/3emffyku</u> .	1128
114.	Hai, F.I.; Visvanathan, C.; Boopathy, R. Sustainable Aquaculture (Applied Environmental Science And Engineering For A Sustainable	1129
	<i>Future</i>). Springer Nature, Switzerland, 2018 . ISBN: 9783319732565. 335 pp. URL: <u>https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-</u>	1130
11-	<u>3-319-73257-2</u> .	1131
115.	Xiang, J. Recent major advances of biotechnology and sustainable aquaculture in China. Curr. Biotechnol, 2015 , 4: 296-310. DOI:	1132
116	https://doi.org/10.2174/2211550105666151105190012.	1133
110.	Spence, A.; Pidgeon, N. Framing and communicating climate change: the effects of distance and outcome frame manipulations. <i>Glob Environ Change</i> , 2010 , <i>20</i> , 656-667. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2010.07.002</u> .	1134
117	Spence, A.; Poortinga, W.; Pidgeon, N. The psychological distance of climate change. <i>Risk Anal</i> , 2012 , <i>32</i> , 957-972. DOI:	1135 1136
117.	https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.2011.01695.x.	1136
118	Jones, C.; Hine, D. W.; Marks, A. D. G. The future is now: reducing psychological distance to increase public engagement with	1137
110.	climate change. <i>Risk Anal</i> , 2017 , <i>37</i> , 331-341. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/risa.12601</u> .	1138
110	Carranza, A.; zu Ermgassen, P. S. E. A global overview of restorative shellfish mariculture. <i>Front Mar Sci</i> , 2020 , <i>7</i> , 722. DOI:	1139
117.	https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00722.	1140
120	Sea, M. A.; Hillman, J. R.; Thrush, S. F. The influence of mussel restoration on coastal carbon cycling. <i>Glob Change Biol</i> , 2022 , 00,	1141
120.	1-14. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16287.	1142
121	Sea, M. A.; Hillman, J. R.; Thrush, S. F. Enhancing multiple scales of seafloor biodiversity with mussel restoration. Scientific	1143
161.	Reports, 2022 , 12, 5027. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-09132-w</u> .	1144
122	Zhang, H.; Che, H.; Xia, J.; Cheng, Q.; Qi, D.; Cao, J.; Luo, Y. Sedimentary CaCO ₃ accumulation in the deep west Pacific Ocean.	1145
1-2-	<i>Front Earth Sci</i> , 2022 , 10, 857260. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2022.857260</u> .	1140